IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JENNIFER BOWERS

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-16117-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CRESTVIEW ACRES INC

Employer

OC: 10-10-10

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5(3)a – Work Refusal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the November 12, 2010, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on January 14, 2011. The claimant participated in the hearing. Steve Hackbarth, Administrator, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant refused a suitable offer of work.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant worked as a PRN CNA for the employer and, as such, was required to work two shifts per pay period. The claimant failed to pick up any shifts after October 3, 2009, and the DON attempted to call her several times, leaving messages for the claimant but not receiving any return calls. The employer sent her a letter December 22, 2009, but it was not a registered or certified letter and the claimant did not receive it. The claimant did not have a valid claim for unemployment insurance benefits at the time.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The

individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

871 IAC 24.24(8) provides:

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the lowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

The employer did not make a bona fide offer of work to the claimant December 22, 2009, as the letter was not sent by certified or registered mail, so there is no proof that the claimant received the letter. Additionally, the administrative law judge does not have jurisdiction to evaluate the offer or refusal of work, since the offer of employment took place outside of the benefit year. Therefore, benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The November 12, 2010, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work. The claimant never received the employer's letter of a bona fide offer of work. The administrative law judge has no jurisdiction to determine the suitability of the offer otherwise. Therefore, benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/kjw