# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

ASHLEY M KARN
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 16A-UI-02787-S1-T
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION

KEDO LLC
Employer

OC: 08/30/15
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Ashley Karn (claimant) appealed a representative's February 17, 2016 (reference 02) decision that concluded she was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because she voluntarily quit work with Kedo (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for March 29, 2016. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Kirk Crabtree, Pharmacy Manager; Sara Ziegenfuss, Pharmacist; and Eris Elliott, Bookkeeper.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on March 24, 2014 as a full-time pharmacy technician; living and working in North Liberty, Iowa. In early March 2014, the claimant told the employer her last day of work would be August 12, 2014. Her husband got a job in Texas and they would be moving. The claimant's last day of work was August 12, 2014. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(2) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(2) The claimant moved to a different locality.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by her words and actions. She told the employer she was leaving and quit work. When an employee quits work because she is moving to a different location, her leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work because she was moving to a different locality. Her leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

### **DECISION:**

The representative's February 17, 2016 (reference 02) decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/can