# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JOEL N HATFIELD** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-08257-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

BEATON INC BURGER KING

Employer

OC: 10/15/06 R: 03 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Joel Hatfield (claimant) appealed a representative's August 23, 2007 decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he had voluntarily quit employment with Burger King (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on September 12, 2007. The claimant participated personally. The employer did not provide a telephone number where it could be reached and, therefore, did not participate.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on May 10, 2007, as a full-time window cashier. The claimant started working for the employer at the same time he started drug treatment. He found it difficult to work for the employer when so many employees were using drugs. The claimant did not return to work after May 31, 2007. Continued work was available had the claimant not resigned.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

The law presumes a claimant has left employment with good cause when he quits because of intolerable or detrimental working conditions. 871 IAC 24.26(4). It would be reasonable for the employee to inform the employer about the conditions the employee believes are intolerable or detrimental and to have the employee notify the employer that he intends to quit employment unless the conditions are corrected. This would allow the employer a chance to correct those conditions before a quit would occur. However, the lowa Supreme Court has stated that a notice of intent to quit is not required when the employee quits due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions. Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Board and Diyonda L. Avant, (No.\_86/04-0762) (Iowa Sup. Ct. November 18, 2005). The claimant found the conditions at work intolerable and detrimental to his drug treatment. The claimant subsequently quit due to those conditions. The claimant is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

## **DECISION:**

bas/css

The representative's August 23, 2007 decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant voluntarily quit with good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

Beth A. Scheetz
Administrative Law Judge
Decision Dated and Mailed