IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

MARK L DUNKEL 3343 ROCKVILLE RD WORTHINGTON IA 52078

L A LEASING INC SEDONA STAFFING 612 VALLEY DR MOLINE IL 61265 Appeal Number: 05A-UI-05121-DT

OC: 06/13/04 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
,
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

L A Leasing, Inc. (employer) appealed a representative's May 6, 2005 decision (reference 07) that concluded Mark L. Dunkel (claimant) was qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on June 2, 2005. The claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice and provide a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing. Colleen McGuinty appeared on the employer's behalf and presented testimony from one other witness, Nikki Keifer. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the employer, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

Appeal No. 05A-UI-05121-DT

## ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily guit for a good cause attributable to the employer?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The employer is a temporary employment firm. The claimant began taking assignments with the employer in January 2004. His final assignment began on April 8, 2005. His last day on the assignment was April 11, 2005. The claimant was to work as a laborer in the business client's light assembly business on a 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. schedule. He was entitled to two 10-minute breaks per day. At both his morning and afternoon breaks on Friday, April 8, 2005, and again on the morning of Monday, April 11, 2005, the business client's supervisors had to remind the claimant that he was taking too long of a break. At the afternoon break on Monday, April 11, the supervisor again had to go into the break room to tell the claimant that he had been gone for 20 minutes and that he needed to come back to the work floor. However, the claimant did not return to the work floor; rather, he left the facility and went home. The business client then contacted the employer and advised the employer that the claimant had left. The employer contacted the claimant at home at 2:56 p.m. The claimant had no explanation for his behavior or as to why he had left the facility.

The claimant established a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective June 13, 2004. He filed an additional claim effective April 10, 2005. The claimant has received no unemployment insurance benefits since the separation from employment.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this case is whether the claimant voluntarily quit, and if so, whether it was for good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25 provides that, in general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship. Bartelt v. Employment Appeal Board, 494 N.W.2d 684 (Iowa 1993). The intent to quit can be inferred in certain circumstances. For example, failing to report and perform duties as assigned is considered to be a voluntary quit. 871 IAC 24.25(27). The claimant did exhibit the intent to quit and did act to carry it out. The claimant would be disqualified for unemployment insurance benefits unless he voluntarily quit for good cause.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify him. Iowa Code section 96.6-2. Leaving because of unlawful, intolerable, or detrimental working conditions would be good cause. 871 IAC 24.26(3), (4). Leaving because of a dissatisfaction with the work environment or a personality conflict with a supervisor is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(21), (23). Quitting because a reprimand has been given is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(28). The claimant has not provided sufficient evidence to conclude

that a reasonable person would find the employer's work environment detrimental or intolerable. O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Board, 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993); <u>Uniweld Products v. Industrial Relations Commission</u>, 277 So.2d 827 (FL App. 1973). The claimant has not satisfied his burden. Benefits are denied.

# DECISION:

The representative's May 6, 2005 decision (reference 07) is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer. As of April 11, 2005, benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

ld/pjs