### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

LISA A HARGROVE Claimant

# APPEAL 17A-UI-00768-CL-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 08/07/16 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.3(5)b – Training Extension Benefits 871 IAC 24.40 – Training Extension Benefits

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the January 13, 2017, (reference 06), unemployment insurance decision denying the request for training extension benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled to be held on February 10, 2017. Claimant participated.

#### **ISSUE:**

Is the claimant eligible to receive training extension benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant resigned from full-time employment as a front desk manager on August 8, 2016. Claimant resides in Region 10. She filed a claim for benefits with an effective date of August 7, 2016. She has exhausted all regular unemployment insurance benefits. The application for TEB was submitted before the end of the benefit year. On January 17, 2017, she started school at Kirkwood Community College to receive a degree in business administration management. Claimant's goal is to become a director of facilities for a retirement program. She is making satisfactory progress.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

There are specific requirements a claimant must satisfy to qualify for training extension benefits: 1) The claimant must meet the minimum requirements for unemployment benefits; 2) the claimant's separation must have been from full-time work in a declining occupation or the claimant must have been involuntarily separated from full-time work due to a permanent reduction of operations; 3) the claimant must be in a job training program that has been approved by the Department; 4) the claimant must have been in the training program at the time regular benefits; 5) the claimant must have been in the training program at the time regular benefits are exhausted; 6) the training must fall under one of the following three categories: a) it must be for a high demand or high technology occupation as defined by lowa

Workforce Development; b) it must be for a high-tech occupation or training approved under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act; c) it must be an approved program for a GED; and 7) the claimant must be enrolled and making satisfactory progress toward completing the training. Iowa Code 96.3(5)(b).

Claimant does not meet the eligibility requirements for unemployment benefits because she was not separated from a declining occupation in Region 10 or as a part of a permanent reduction of operations. Therefore, while claimant's desire for additional education is understandable and admirable, training extension benefits must be denied.

## **DECISION:**

The January 13, 2017, (reference 06) representative's decision is affirmed. The claimant is not eligible to receive training extension benefits.

Christine A. Louis Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

Decision Dated and Mailed

cal/