

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

REVINA WILSON
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-16501-JTT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

OC: 07/05/09
Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code Section 96.3(7) - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Revina Wilson filed an appeal from the October 27, 2009, reference 03, decision that she was overpaid \$1,476.00 in benefits for the six-week period of July 5, 2009 through August 15, 2009. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on December 8, 2009. Ms. Wilson participated. The administrative law judge took official notice of the Agency's administrative record (DBRO) of benefits disbursed to the claimant. The hearing in this matter was consolidated with the hearing in Appeal Number 09A-UI-16500-JTT. The administrative law judge hereby takes official notice of the decision entered in that matter.

ISSUE:

Whether Ms. Wilson was overpaid \$1,476.00 in benefits for the six-week period of July 5, 2009 through August 15, 2009.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Revina Wilson established a claim for benefits that was effective July 5, 2009. Ms. Wilson received \$1,476.00 in benefits for the six-week period of July 5, 2009 through August 15, 2009. The overpayment decision on appeal in this matter was prompted by an August 25, 2009, reference 01, disqualification decision that has been reversed on appeal. See Appeal Number 09A-UI-16500-JTT.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from

any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Because the disqualification decision that prompted the overpayment decision has been reversed on appeal, the administrative law judge concludes that Ms. Wilson was not overpaid \$1,476.00 in benefits for the six-week period of July 5, 2009 through August 15, 2009.

DECISION:

The Agency representative's October 27, 2009, reference 03, decision is reversed. The claimant was not overpaid \$1,476.00 in benefits for the six-week period of July 5, 2009 through August 15, 2009.

James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/css