## BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

\_\_\_\_\_\_

ROY R SALCEDO

: **HEARING NUMBER:** 17BUI-09852 Claimant :

and : EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

: DECISION

Employer

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-1

DECISION

## **UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

The issue of timeliness was raised when the Claimant filed an appeal postmarked October 26, 2017, one day beyond the statutory deadline of October 25, 2017. The reason for the delay was because the Notice of Decision had no date on it, and it was unclear when the appeal was due. For this reason, we find good cause has been established for the late appeal, and the board shall consider it to be timely.

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

The Claimant submitted additional evidence to the Board which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the additional evidence was reviewed for the purposes of determining whether admission of the evidence was warranted despite it not being presented at hearing, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision. There is no sufficient cause why the new and additional information

information submitted has not been relied upon in making our decision, and has received no weight whatsoever, but rather has been wholly disregarded.	
1	Kim D. Schmett
7	Ashley R. Koopmans
-	James M. Strohman

AMG/fnv