BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

LEONARD J NAYLOR		
Claimant,	HEARING NUMBER: 13B-UI-)8452
and	EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BO	
HY-VEE INC	: EMIFLO IMENT AFFEAL BO : DECISION	AKD
	:	

Employer.

ΝΟΤΙCΕ

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-A, 96.4-3

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member concurring, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

The majority Board members would note that while the Claimant is eligible to receive benefits because misconduct was not established, he is disqualified at this point because he is *not* currently able and available for work. Being able and available for work is a week to week determination. Once the Claimant has been released to return to work full-time, he should present such medical documentation to the Iowa Workforce Development Center, Claims Section, for further consideration.

John A. Peno

Cloyd (Robby) Robinson

CONCURRING OPINION OF MONIQUE F. KUESTER:

I agree with my fellow board members that the administrative law judge's decision should be affirmed; however, I would not join in my fellow Board members' comment.

Monique F. Kuester

AMG/fnv