IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JULIE A GROSS 3744 BUCKEYE CT DUBUQUE IA 52001

MICHAELS STORES INC °/<sub>0</sub> GATES MCDONALD & CO P O BOX 182366 COLUMBUS OH 43218-2366

TALX UC EXPRESS P O BOX 283 ST LOUIS MO 63166 0283 AMENDED
Appeal Number: 04A-UI-04607-H2T

OC 03-07-04 R 04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319*.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the April 12, 2004, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on May 17, 2004. The claimant did not participate. The employer did participate through Tori Anderson, Store Manager and Daria Fleege, Department Manager.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a floral designer part time beginning June 8, 2003 through March 17, 2004 when she was discharged for being a no call-no show to work on March 11, 12, 15, 17,

2004. The claimant saw her job posted on the Internet on March 5, 2004 and became upset about it. She spoke to Cindy Shulte on March 5, 2004 who did not tell her she was discharged. The claimant was angry that her position was posted on the Internet, so she stopped showing up for work beginning on March 11, 2004. Had the claimant shown up for work, continued work was available for her. The claimant had earlier asked Ms. Anderson for either less hours or more hours so that she could accommodate the loss of her other part-time job. Ms. Anderson did not allow the claimant to cut her hours down to seven per week. When the claimant's request to cut her hours was denied, she told Ms. Anderson that she would probably have to quit her job. Ms. Anderson did not fire her at that time. Ms. Anderson posted the claimant's job on the Internet, thinking the claimant was going to turn in her resignation. Had the claimant continued showing up for work, she was not going to be replaced or discharged. The claimant was a no call-no show to work because she was angry that the employer posted her position.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits after the separation from employment.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

## 871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code Section 96.6-2 (amended 1998). Generally, when an individual mistakenly believes they are discharged from employment, but were not told so by the employer, and they discontinue reporting for work, the separation is considered a quit without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant admitted that she was on the schedule for March 11, 12, 15, and 17, 2004. She did not report for work because she was angry that her job had been posted on the Internet, in anticipation of her quitting. Inasmuch as

the claimant failed to report for work or notify the employer for three consecutive workdays in violation of the employer's policy, the claimant is considered to have voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

#### **DECISION:**

The April 12, 2004, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$2,055.00.

tkh/s