IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

JENNIFER L BROSIUS Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-01083-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DUBUQUE COMMUNITY SCHOOL DISTRICT Employer

> OC: 08/16/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct lowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the November 19, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. After proper notice, a telephone hearing was conducted on February 15, 2021. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated through Linda Gratton, human resources coordinator. Official notice of the administrative records was taken. Department Exhibit D-1 was admitted.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant file a timely appeal?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full-time as a para-professional and was separated from employment on July 27, 2020, when she was given the option to resign or be fired.

For the period of September 29, 2020 - October 7, 2020, claimant was hospitalized. Approximately six weeks later, an initial decision dated November 19, 2020 (reference 01) which denied benefits to claimant was mailed to claimant's last known address. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be filed by November 29, 2020. Because November 29, 2020 was a Sunday, the final day to appeal was extended to November 30, 2020. Claimant filed her appeal on December 9, 2020 (Department Exhibit D-1).

Claimant stated her appeal was late because she allowed her mail to stack up on her counter, and upon opening the initial decision (she didn't recall when), she had a doctor's appointment and forgot about it.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue before the administrative law judge is whether the employer's agreement not to contest a claim for unemployment insurance benefits insures that the claimant will receive unemployment benefits. It does not. The decision about whether a claimant receives or is denied unemployment insurance benefits is not up to the employer or the claimant, but is determined by lowa Workforce Development applying the facts of the claimant's job separation to the Unemployment Security Law as enacted by the state legislature. Whereupon the employer might agree not to contest a claim, that promise, in and of itself, does not guarantee that a claimant will receive unemployment insurance benefits, but only that the employer will not hinder any efforts on the part of the claimant to make a claim for unemployment benefits.

The next issue to address is whether the claimant filed a timely appeal.

The law states that an unemployment insurance decision is final unless a party appeals the decision within ten days after the decision was mailed to the party's last known address. See lowa Code § 96.6(2).

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

Date of submission and extension of time for payments and notices.

(2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.

b. The division shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.

c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the department after considering the circumstances in the case.

d. If submission is not considered timely, although the interested party contends that the delay was due to division error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States postal service, the division shall issue an appealable decision to the interested party.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (lowa 1976).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982). The question in

this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); *Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973).

The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal. The administrative law judge concludes that failure to follow the clear written instructions to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the lowa Employment Security Law *was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service* pursuant to lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to lowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See, *Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979) and *Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (lowa 1979).

DECISION:

The November 19, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision denying benefits remains in effect as the claimant did not file a timely appeal.

Jennigu & Beckman

Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

February 26, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/scn

NOTE TO CLAIMANT:

This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. If you do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations and are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19, you may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. More information about how to apply for PUA is available online at:

www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information

You may find information about food, housing, and other resources at <u>https://covidrecoveryiowa.org/</u> or at <u>https://dhs.iowa.gov/node/3250</u>

lowa Finance Authority also has additional resources at <u>https://www.iowafinance.com/about/covid-19-ifa-recovery-assistance/</u>