IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

JAY B HUTCHESON

Claimant

APPEAL 17A-UI-00949-JCT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC

Employer

OC: 12/25/16

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d - Voluntary Quitting/Illness or Injury

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the January 19, 2017, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon separation. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on February 16, 2017. The claimant participated personally. The employer registered a phone number for Debra Damge, but was not available when called, and so the employer did not participate. Claimant Exhibit A was received into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant qualified for benefits based upon his medically-related temporary separation from the employment?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed part-time as a warehouse assistant and was separated from employment on July 13, 2017, when he quit for surgery.

The claimant had a history of plantar fasciitis and as a result, met with his podiatrist on June 6, 2016. As a result, surgery was scheduled for the claimant on July 15, 2016. The claimant met with human resources manager, Debra Damge, on June 7, 2016, to let her know that he would need to be off work for his surgery and recovery. No leave of absence was discussed and on July 13, 2016, the claimant last performed work. Following the claimant's release from medical care without restrictions, he contacted Debra Damge, to notify her of his updated medical status and intent to return to employment. The claimant also applied for two separate positions for the employer but was not selected for either. No work has been offered or made available to the claimant since he contacted the employer after fully healing.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that:

"Insofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

The statute provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible. lowa Code § 96.5(1)(d).

Section 96.5(1)(d) specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is fully recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)).

In this case, the claimant's doctor advised his absence, while he had surgery for plantar fasciitis and subsequently recovered. The claimant notified the employer immediately after the surgery was scheduled and worked until July 13, 2016. His surgery was July 15, 2016. When the claimant contacted the employer after his November 9, 2016 release without restrictions, no work was available. Since the claimant offered to return to work from the non-work-related injury without restriction and no work was available, the separation was with good cause attributable to the employer.

DECISION:

The January 19, 2017, (reference 01) decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left the employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Jennifer L. Beckman	
Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
ilb/rvs	
110/173	