IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

TIA M BERNAL

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-00011-HT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ANK HOSPITALITY LLC DAYS INN OF DAVENPORT

Employer

OC: 11/25/07 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer, Days Inn, filed an appeal from a decision dated December 26, 2007, reference 03. The decision found the employer's protest was not timely. After due notice was issued a hearing was held by telephone conference call on January 16, 2008. The claimant did not provide a telephone number where she could be contacted and did not participate. The employer participated by General Manager Lalit Patel and Head Housekeeper Karen Fulford. Exhibit D-1 was admitted into the record.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the protest is timely.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Claimant's Notice of Claim was mailed to employer's address of record on November 29, 2007, and received by employer within ten days. The Notice of Claim contains a warning that any protest must be postmarked, faxed or returned not later than ten days from the initial mailing date. Employer did not file a protest until December 21, 2007, which is after the ten-day period had expired. No good cause reason has been established for the delay.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

The administrative law judge concludes that employer has failed to protest within the time period prescribed by the lowa Employment Security Law. The delay was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to

871 IAC 24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has failed to timely protest pursuant to lowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's termination of employment. See, Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979); Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979) and Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company v. Employment Appeal Board, 465 N.W.2d 674 (Iowa App. 1990).

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated December 26, 2007, reference 03, is affirmed. Employer has failed to file a timely protest, and the decision of the representative shall stand and remain in full force and effect.

Bonny G. Hendricksmeyer Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bgh/css