IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El

Claimant: Appellant (1)

AQUILLA L WALKER Claimant	APPEAL NO: 12A-UI-01366-DWT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
RIVERSIDE STAFFING SERVICES INC Employer	
	OC: 12/11/11

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

PROCEDURAL STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed a representative's January 26, 2012 determination (reference 01) that disqualified her from receiving benefits and held the employer's account exempt from charge because she had been discharged for disqualifying reasons. The claimant participated in the hearing with her witness, Jessica Schmidt. Karrie Minch, a senior staffing specialist, appeared on the employer's behalf. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant remains disqualified from receiving benefits because the Appeals Section does not have jurisdiction to address the reasons for her May 20, 2011 employment separation.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant file a timely appeal or establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant established claim for benefits during the week of December 11, 2011. On January 26, 2012, a representative's determination was mailed to the claimant and employer. The determination disqualified the claimant from receiving benefits as of December 11, 2011.

The claimant received the representative's determination by January 28, 2011. She did not read all the information on the determination that indicated she had to file an appeal on or before February 5, 2012 or the determination was considered final. The claimant called and then went to her local Workforce office on February 8. She filed her appeal on February 8 at her local Workforce office.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after a representative's determination is mailed to the parties' last-known address, files an appeal from the determination; it is final. Benefits shall then be paid or denied in accordance with the representative's determination. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1)

and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The Iowa Supreme Court has ruled that appeals from unemployment insurance determinations must be filed within the time limit set by statute and the administrative law judge has no authority to review a determination if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979); *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). In this case, the claimant's appeal was filed after the February 6, 2012 deadline for appealing expired. Since February 5 was a Sunday, the deadline to appeal was automatically extended to Monday, February 6.

The next question is whether the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. IESC,* 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC,* 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The evidence establishes the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal, but did not do so.

The claimant's failure to file a timely appeal was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service, which under 871 IAC 24.35(2) would excuse the delay in filing an appeal. Since the claimant did not file a timely appeal or establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal, the Appeals Section does not have jurisdiction to make a decision on the merits of the appeal.

The parties presented information about why the claimant's job assignment ended early. Since the Appeals Section does not have jurisdiction to address this issue, the reasons for the claimant's May 20, 2011 employment separation cannot be addressed.

DECISION:

The representative's January 26, 2012 determination (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant did not file a timely appeal or establish a legal excuse for filing a late appeal. Therefore, the Appeals Section does not have jurisdiction to address the merits of her appeal. This means the claimant remains disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits as of December 11, 2011. This disqualification continues until she has been paid ten times her weekly benefit amount for insured work, provided she is otherwise eligible. The employer's account will not be charged.

Debra L. Wise Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlw/kjw