IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JUDY SYDNES

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-10155-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CASEYS MARKETING COMPANY

Employer

OC: 10-26-07 R: 01 Claimant: Respondent (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the October 26, 2007, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on November 20, 2007. The claimant participated in the hearing. Zachary Banse, Store Manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily left her employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time kitchen staff for Caseys from June 14, 2007 to September 9, 2007. On September 5, 2007, the employer told the claimant she could leave to pick up her medication but needed to clock out while she did so. The claimant became upset and said she was going to quit. She finished her shift but did not call or report for work September 6 or 7, 2007, and the employer determined she voluntarily quit her job.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits since his separation from this employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. 871 IAC 24.25. Leaving because of unlawful, intolerable, or detrimental working conditions would be good cause. 871 IAC 24.26(3),(4). Leaving because of dissatisfaction with the work environment is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(1). The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code section 96.6-2. While the claimant maintains her employment was terminated because the employer believed she was a no-call/no-show September 4, 2007, the employer's testimony that she was upset she could not leave while on the clock to pick up her medication, stated she was going to guit and then failed to call or show up for work September 6 or 7, 2007, was documented and more credible than the claimant's testimony. Therefore, the claimant voluntarily quit her job. The remaining question is whether she left for good cause attributable to the employer. Under these circumstances the administrative law judge cannot conclude that the claimant's leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer as defined by Iowa law. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

DECISION:

The October 26, 2007, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly

Page 3 Appeal No. 07A-UI-10155-ET

benefit amount,	provided she is	otherwise	eligible.	The claimant	is	overpaid	benefits	in	the
amount of \$868.00.						•			

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/css