## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ZACHARY GILLIES Claimant

# APPEAL 21A-UI-21471-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HY VEE INC Employer

> OC: 04/26/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Eligibility – A&A – Able to, available for, work search Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35 – Filing

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the July 24, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied unemployment insurance (UI) benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2021. Claimant participated. Employer did not participate. No exhibits were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record. The hearing was consolidated with appeal 21A-DUA-01855-AW-T.

#### **ISSUES:**

Whether claimant filed a timely appeal. Whether claimant is able to and available for work.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to claimant at the correct address on July 24, 2020. Claimant does not recall whether he received it. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development Appeals Section by August 3, 2020.

Claimant did not appeal the decision. Claimant appealed a subsequent decision denying Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) benefits online on September 27, 2021. Claimant's appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on September 27, 2021. Iowa Workforce Development applied claimant's appeal to all adverse decisions including the July 24, 2020 (reference 01) decision denying UI benefits.

Claimant received Unemployment Insurance Decisions mailed to claimant on February 9, 2021 (reference 02) and June 23, 2021 (reference 03) which determined claimant had been overpaid UI and Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits based upon the July 24, 2020 (reference 01) decision denying UI benefits.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's appeal was untimely.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion? *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973).

Claimant does not recall whether he received the July 24, 2020 (reference 01) decision. However, claimant received decisions mailed on February 9, 2021 and June 23, 2021 determining claimant was overpaid benefits based upon the July 24, 2020 (reference 01) decision denying benefits. Therefore, claimant had notice of the July 24, 2020 (reference 01) decision months prior to filing his appeal on September 27, 2021. Claimant has not established that his delay was due to agency error or misinformation or delay of the United States Postal Service. The appeal was not timely. Therefore, the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal.

## **DECISION:**

Claimant's appeal was not timely. The administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of the representative. The July 24, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed.

Adrienne C. Williamson Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

November 18, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/acw