

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

**MELISSA PULLIN**  
Claimant

**APPEAL 21A-UI-04373-CL-T**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**  
**DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 03/22/20**  
**Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Iowa Code § 96.6(3) – Appeals  
Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Claimant filed an appeal from the January 26, 2021 (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision that found claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance (UI) benefits. A telephone hearing was scheduled for March 31, 2021, at 1:00 P.M. Claimant was properly notified of the hearing. No hearing was held, because claimant did not register a telephone number to participate in the hearing. Based upon a review of the administrative record and claimant's appeal, a decision can be made without additional testimony. No exhibits were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

**ISSUE:**

Whether claimant is overpaid UI benefits.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: An Administrative Law Judge's decision issued on September 18, 2020, (appeal number 20A-UI-09253-AW-T) found claimant was overpaid UI in the amount of \$516.59 for the four-week period ending June 27, 2020. That decision has not been appealed and has become final.

For some unknown reason, the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development issued a January 26, 2021, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision also finding claimant was overpaid UI benefits in the amount of \$516.59 for the four-week period ending June 27, 2020.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes:

Iowa Code section 96.6(3) provides:

3. Appeals. a. Unless the appeal is withdrawn, an administrative law judge, after affording the parties reasonable opportunity for fair hearing, shall affirm or modify the findings of fact and decision of the representative. The hearing shall be conducted

pursuant to the provisions of chapter 17A relating to hearings for contested cases. Before the hearing is scheduled, the parties shall be afforded the opportunity to choose either a telephone hearing or an in-person hearing. A request for an in-person hearing shall be approved unless the in-person hearing would be impractical because of the distance between the parties to the hearing. The notice for a telephone or in-person hearing shall be sent to all the parties at least ten calendar days before the hearing date. Reasonable requests for the postponement of a hearing shall be granted. The parties shall be duly notified of the administrative law judge's decision, together with the administrative law judge's reasons for the decision, which is the final decision of the department, unless within fifteen days after the date of notification or mailing of the decision, further appeal is initiated pursuant to this section.

b. Appeals from the initial determination shall be heard by an administrative law judge employed by the department. An administrative law judge's decision may be appealed by any party to the employment appeal board created in section 10A.601. The decision of the appeal board is final agency action and an appeal of the decision shall be made directly to the district court.

The overpayment at issue has already been adjudicated and is final. Therefore, this overpayment decision should be affirmed.

**DECISION:**

The January 26, 2021 (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was overpaid regular unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$516.59.



---

Christine A. Louis  
Administrative Law Judge  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau  
1000 East Grand Avenue  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209  
Fax (515)478-3528

April 5, 2021  
Decision Dated and Mailed

cal/kmj