IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

DEBRA M WOGAN

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-04962-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AVENTURE STAFFING & PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Employer

OC: 10/11/09

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.5(1)d – Voluntary Leaving (Illness/Injury)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Debra Wogan (claimant) appealed a representative's March 26, 2010 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because she voluntarily quit work with Aventure Staffing & Professional Services (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for May 17, 2010. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Cyd Hall, Office Manager.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on February 8, 2010, as a temporary worker assigned to work at T.P.I. as a full-time molder. The claimant suffered from asthma and found it hard to breath in her work environment. On February 15, 2010, after another asthma attack, the claimant reported her issues to the employer. The employer could not accommodate the claimant's condition at T. P.I and had no other work available for the claimant. The claimant has requested reassignment but no work has been available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(6)b provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

- (6) Separation because of illness, injury or pregnancy.
- b. Employment related separation. The claimant was compelled to leave employment because of an illness, injury, or allergy condition that was attributable to the employment. Factors and circumstances directly connected with employment which caused or aggravated the illness, injury, allergy, or disease to the employee which made it impossible for the employee to continue in employment because of serious danger to the employee's health may be held to be an involuntary termination of employment and constitute good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant will be eligible for benefits if compelled to leave employment as a result of an injury suffered on the job.

In order to be eligible under this paragraph "b" an individual must present competent evidence showing adequate health reasons to justify termination; before quitting have informed the employer of the work-related health problem and inform the employer that the individual intends to quit unless the problem is corrected or the individual is reasonably accommodated. Reasonable accommodation includes other comparable work which is not injurious to the claimant's health and for which the claimant must remain available.

An individual who voluntarily leaves their employment due to an alleged work-related illness or injury must first give notice to the employer of the anticipated reasons for quitting in order to give the employer an opportunity to remedy the situation or offer an accommodation. *Suluki v. Employment Appeal Board*, 503 N.W.2d 402 (lowa 1993). An employee who receives a reasonable expectation of assistance from the employer after complaining about working conditions must complain further if conditions persist in order to preserve eligibility for benefits. *Polley v. Gopher Bearing Company*, 478 N.W.2d 775 (Minn. App. 1991).

The claimant did give the employer an opportunity to resolve her complaints prior to leaving employment, the separation was with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The	representative's	March 26,	2010	decision	(reference 01)	is	reversed.	The	claimant
volur	ntarily quit work w	rith good car	use att	ributable to	the employer.	Be	enefits are	allowed.	ı

Beth A. Scheetz

Beth A. Scheetz
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/css