

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

MELODIE R BOUSLOG

Claimant

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

Employer

APPEAL 21A-UI-06633-DB-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

OC: 11/22/20

Claimant: Appellant (5)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the February 23, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon her voluntarily quitting work without good cause attributable to the employer. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on May 12, 2021. The claimant participated personally and was represented by Karyl Thomas. Karyl Thomas also participated as a witness for the claimant. The employer participated through witness Jessica Wade. The administrative law judge took official notice of the claimant's administrative records.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a Custodian 1. Her job duties consisted of cleaning patient rooms. Claimant began working for this employer on April 9, 2007 and voluntarily quit her position on October 28, 2020.

On October 28, 2020, the claimant tendered a verbal resignation when she left a message stating that she was quitting on the employer's answering machine. The day prior, the claimant met with Human Resources and her supervisors about her job performance in cleaning an area of the hospital. Claimant believed that if she received one more warning, she would be fired.

Claimant felt that her supervisors and her co-workers were harassing her. Her supervisor, Julie Phillips, would check on her numerous times to ensure that she was completing her job duties. Ms. Phillips communicated to the claimant that she felt the claimant was failing to clean the rooms promptly. Claimant also had received comments from two separate co-workers that they felt she had transmitted COVID-19 to her co-workers. Claimant reported one co-worker to management and was told that the supervisor would speak to him about his actions. No further comments were made to the claimant.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). Claimant had an intention to quit and carried out that intention by tendering her verbal resignation. As such, claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). Claimant contends that she voluntarily quit due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

- (4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

As such, if claimant establishes that she left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions, benefits would be allowed. Generally, notice of an intent to quit is required by *Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board*, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), *Suluki v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and *Swanson v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). These cases require an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. Accordingly, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added, however, to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

"Good cause attributable to the employer" does not require fault, negligence, wrongdoing or bad faith by the employer. *Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 433 N.W.2d 700, 702 (Iowa 1988)("[G]ood cause attributable to the employer can exist even though the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing in connection therewith"); *Shontz v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission*, 248 N.W.2d 88, 91 (Iowa 1976)(benefits payable even though employer "free from

fault"); *Raffety v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (Iowa 1956)(“The good cause attributable to the employer need not be based upon a fault or wrong of such employer.”). Good cause may be attributable to “the employment itself” rather than the employer personally and still satisfy the requirements of the Act. *Raffety*, 76 N.W.2d at 788 (Iowa 1956). Therefore, claimant was not required to give the employer any notice with regard to the alleged intolerable or detrimental working conditions prior to her quitting. However, claimant must prove that her working conditions were intolerable or detrimental.

Given the facts of this case, claimant’s working conditions do not rise to the level where a reasonable person would feel compelled to quit. As such, she has failed to prove that under the same circumstances a reasonable person would feel compelled to resign. See *O’Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993). Rather, the circumstances in this case seem to align with the conclusion that claimant was dissatisfied with her work environment in general. This is not a good cause reason attributable to the employer for claimant to have quit.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(21) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:


(21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

As such, the claimant’s voluntary quitting was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The February 23, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is modified with no change in effect. Claimant voluntarily quit her employment on October 28, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and earned wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount after her October 28, 2020 separation date, and provided she is otherwise eligible.

This decision denies unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits. See Note to Claimant below.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Dawn Boucher". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Dawn Boucher
Administrative Law Judge

May 19, 2021
Decision Dated and Mailed

db/ol

Note to Claimant

- This decision may determine you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa under state law and if you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision.
- If you do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa under state law, you may qualify for benefits under the Federal Pandemic Unemployment Assistance ("PUA") section of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("Cares Act") that discusses eligibility for claimants who are unemployed due to the Coronavirus.
- **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.**
For additional information on how to apply for PUA go to:
<https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>.
- If you are denied regular unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa and wish to apply for PUA, please visit:
<https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information> and scroll down to "Submit Proof Here." You will fill out the questionnaire regarding the reason you are not working and upload a picture or copy of your fact-finding decision. Your claim will be reviewed for PUA eligibility. If you are eligible for PUA, you will also be eligible for Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) until the program expires. Back payments PUA benefits may automatically be used to repay any overpayment of state benefits. If this does not occur on your claim, you may repay any overpayment by visiting:
<https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-overpayment-and-recovery>.
- If you have applied and have been approved for PUA benefits, this decision will **not** negatively affect your entitlement to PUA benefits.