

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

FARAH DIRIYE
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-16716-BT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

AGRI STAR MEAT & POULTRY LLC
Employer

OC: 10/24/10
Claimant: Respondent (2/R)

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit
871 IAC 24.25(4) - Voluntary Quit Without Good Cause
Iowa Code § 96.3-7 - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Agri Star meat & Poultry, LLC (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated December 6, 2010, reference 01, which held that Farah Diriye (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on January 21, 2011. The claimant did not comply with the hearing notice instructions and did not call in to provide a telephone number at which he could be contacted and, therefore, did not participate. The employer participated through Laura Althouse, Payroll Human Resources Associate. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the party, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies him to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a full-time general laborer from March 25, 2010 through October 25, 2010. The employer's attendance policy provides an employee is considered a voluntary quit if he is a no-call/no-show for three consecutive workdays. The claimant was a no-call/no-show for four days from October 20, 2010 through October 23, 2010 and was considered to have voluntarily quit his employment.

The employer was notified by someone at a hospital that the claimant was in the hospital on October 21, 2010. Employees are required to report their own absences and the employer had no information that the claimant was unable to do so. The claimant did not contact the employer until October 25, 2010 to report that he was ill, but he had already been considered to have voluntarily quit his employment.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective October 24, 2010 and has received benefits after the separation from employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify him to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if he voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5-1.

Rule 871 IAC 24.25 provides that, in general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980) and Peck v. Employment Appeal Bd., 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). The claimant demonstrated his intent to quit and acted to carry it out by failing to call or report to work for four consecutive days.

871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

The claimant was deemed a voluntary quit on October 23, 2010 after four days of no-call/no-show. It is his burden to prove that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify him. Iowa Code § 96.6-2. The claimant failed to participate in the hearing and there is no evidence to establish that he quit with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are therefore denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7) provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. The overpayment recovery law was updated in 2008. See Iowa Code section 96.3(7)(b). Under the revised law, a claimant will not be required to repay an overpayment of benefits if all of the following factors are met. First, the prior award of benefits must have been made in connection with a decision regarding the claimant's separation from a particular employment. Second, the claimant must not have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation to obtain the benefits or in connection with the Agency's initial decision to award benefits. Third, the employer must not have participated at the initial fact-finding proceeding that resulted in the initial decision to award benefits. If Workforce Development determines there has been an overpayment of benefits, the employer

will not be charged for the benefits, regardless of whether the claimant is required to repay the benefits.

Because the claimant has been deemed ineligible for benefits, any benefits the claimant has received could constitute an overpayment. Accordingly, the administrative law judge will remand the matter to the Claims Division for determination of whether there has been an overpayment, the amount of the overpayment, and whether the claimant will have to repay the benefits.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated December 6, 2010, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until he has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The matter is remanded to the Claims Section for investigation and determination of the overpayment issue.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/kjw