

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

RYAN M EFT  
9039 NW 33<sup>RD</sup> CT  
POLK CITY IA 50226

IOWA WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Appeal Number: 05A-UI-12004-CT  
OC: 07/17/05 R: 02  
Claimant: Appellant (1)

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

871 IAC 24.2(1)e – Reporting Requirements

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Ryan Eft filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated November 14, 2005, reference 02, which denied benefits effective November 4, 2005 on a finding that he failed to report to his local office as directed. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone on December 13, 2005. Mr. Eft participated personally.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witness and having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: On or about October 24, 2005, Workforce Development mailed Mr. Eft a notice to report to his local office to complete a work registration.

The notice did not specify a date or time on which to report. The notice advised Mr. Eft that he could report in person to his local office or register for work on-line. The work registration was to be completed by November 4, 2005. As of the date of the disqualification, Workforce Development had no record of Mr. Eft registering for work either at his local office or on-line.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

At issue in this matter is whether Mr. Eft registered for work by November 4, 2005 as required by his local office. Workforce Development has no record of him filing any work registration. Inasmuch as he has not registered for work as required by law, Mr. Eft is not entitled to job insurance benefits. Benefits are withheld until he reports to his local office to register for work or registers for work on-line.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated November 14, 2005, reference 02, is hereby affirmed. Mr. Eft failed to register for work as directed by his local office. Benefits are withheld until such time as he registers for work as required by law, provided he satisfies all other conditions of eligibility.

cfc/pjs