IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

KANAIS L PURNELL Claimant **APPEAL 24A-UI-06662-LJ-T**

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 03/31/24

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(3) – Work Search Requirements lowa Code § 96.2(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On July 22, 2024, claimant Kanais L. Purnell filed an appeal from the June 28, 2024 (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits for the week ending June 15, 2024 due to failing to make an active work search that week. The Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau mailed notice of the hearing on July 25, 2024. Administrative Law Judge Elizabeth A. Johnson held a telephonic hearing at 11:00 a.m. on Wednesday, August 7, 2024. Appeal numbers 24A-Ul-06661-LJ-T, 24A-Ul-06662-LJ-T, and 24A-Ul-06663-LJ-T were heard together and created one record. Claimant Kanais L. Purnell personally participated. lowa Workforce Development participated through Mary Kirk, RESEA Career Planner. IWD Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 were received and admitted into the record over objection. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record to incorporate claimant's unemployment insurance claim for benefits.

ISSUES:

Is the appeal timely? Did claimant present any good-cause reason to render the appeal timely filed?

Is the claimant able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant Kanais L. Purnell opened his claim for benefits effective March 31, 2024 due to a permanent separation from employment. He filed his first weekly claim for the week ending April 6, 2024. Claimant did not record any job searches for that week. IWD issued him a warning for failing to log and certify four reemployment activities that week (reference 02). Claimant did not appeal that warning.

Claimant filed a weekly claim for the week ending June 15, 2024. He certified that he applied at Dollar General, Perkins, and Taco John's that week. Claimant indicated he applied in person at the Burlington Dollar General on June 13, 2024. (Exhibit 3). He listed a manager, Nancy, as his initial contact. (Exhibit 3a) When RESEA career planner Mary Kirk followed up on this entry, she concluded that claimant did not apply with Dollar General on June 13. She spoke with a

manager, Kiersten, and learned that Dollar General does not accept in person applications. (Exhibit 4) Additionally, Kirk was informed that Nancy has not worked with Dollar General for several years. (Exhibit 4)

After Kirk determined claimant did not apply at Dollar General on June 13, IWD issued the reference 05 decision denying benefits for the week of June 9 through June 15. Once claimant's Dollar General application was stricken from his work search log, he only had three reemployment activities for that week—one fewer than the required four.

lowa Workforce Development mailed claimant the denial due to inadequate employment activities on June 28, 2024. Claimant did not receive this decision. The decision explains: "If this decision denies benefits and is not reversed on appeal, it may result in an overpayment which you will be required to repay." The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by July 8, 2024. Claimant did not file his appeal until July 22, 2024.

Claimant was having issues with the U.S. Postal Service delivering his mail to the resident in apartment 13. At some point, she brought him some amount of mail; this mail contained letters from IWD. Claimant first realized there was an issue with his benefits when he did not receive a benefit payment after filing his weekly claim for the week ending July 13, 2024. IWD records indicate this benefit payment was withheld as an offset for the benefits claimant received the week of June 15, 2024. Around this time, IWD issued claimant the July 16, 2024 (reference 06) unemployment insurance decision determining he was overpaid benefits for the week ending June 15, 2024. Claimant filed an appeal of that decision on July 22, 2024. That appeal was applied to the overpayment; the denial for the week ending June 15; and the warning for the week ending April 6.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant failed to make an active work search for the week ending June 15, 2024.

The first issue is whether claimant filed a timely appeal. I find claimant did not receive the decision and did not have an opportunity to file the appeal. His appeal shall be accepted as timely.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982).

It is the duty of the administrative law judge as the trier of fact in this case, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. *Arndt v. City of LeClaire*, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (lowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (lowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *Id.*. In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other believable evidence; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *Id.*

The findings of fact show how I have resolved the disputed factual issues in this case. I assessed the credibility of the witnesses who testified during the hearing, considering the applicable factors listed above, and using my own common sense and experience. I believe claimant's testimony that there have been issues with the U.S. Postal Service failing to deliver his mail to his address. This issue is common in apartment buildings, based on the testimony I receive from apartment building tenants across lowa. Claimant would not have known that he was denied benefits for the week ending June 15 until the sometime after July 13, 2024, when his benefits for *that* week were withheld to offset his overpayment. Around this time, IWD also issued him an overpayment decision related to the benefits he received for the week ending June 15, 2024. Claimant received that decision and promptly appealed it. The evidence shows claimant appealed his first notification related to his disqualification for the week ending June 15, 2024. For that reason, the appeal shall be deemed timely.

The next issue is whether claimant made an active work search for the week ending June 15, 2024.

lowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.1A, subsection 37, paragraph "b", subparagraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.1A, subsection 37, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(28) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(28) A claimant will be ineligible for benefits because of failure to make an adequate work search after having been previously warned and instructed to expand the search for work effort.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(3) provides:

Benefit eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (3) Earnestly and actively seeking work. Mere registration at a workforce development center does not establish that the individual is earnestly and actively seeking work. It is essential that the individual personally and diligently search for work. It is difficult to establish definite criteria for defining the words earnestly and actively. Much depends on the estimate of the employment opportunities in the area. The number of employer contacts which might be appropriate in an area of limited opportunity might be totally unacceptable in other areas. When employment opportunities are high an individual may be expected to make more than the usual number of contacts. Unreasonable limitations by an individual as to salary, hours or conditions of work can indicate that the individual is not earnestly seeking work. The department expects each individual claiming benefits to conduct themselves as would any normal, prudent individual who is out of work.
- a. Basic requirements. An individual shall be ineligible for benefits for any period for which the department finds that the individual has failed to make an earnest and active search for work. The circumstances in each case are considered in determining whether an earnest and active search for work has been made. Subject to the foregoing, applicable actions of the following kind are considered an earnest and active search for work if found by the department to constitute a reasonable means of securing work by the individual, under the facts and circumstances of the individual's particular situation:
- (1) Making application with employers as may reasonably be expected to have openings suitable to the individual.

- (2) Registering with a placement facility of a school, college, or university if one is available in the individual's occupation or profession.
- (3) Making application or taking examination for openings in the civil service of a governmental entity with reasonable prospects of suitable work for the individual.
- (4) Responding to appropriate "want ads" for work which appears suitable to the individual if the response is made in writing or in person or electronically.
- (5) Any other action which the department finds to constitute an effective means of securing work suitable to the individual.
- (6) No individual, however, is denied benefits solely on the ground that the individual has failed or refused to register with a private employment agency or at any other placement facility which charges the job-seeker a fee for its services. However, an individual may count as one of the work contacts required for the week an in-person contact with a private employment agency.
- (7) An individual is considered to have failed to make an effort to secure work if the department finds that the individual has followed a course of action designed to discourage prospective employers from hiring the individual in suitable work.
- b. Number of employer contacts. It is difficult to determine criteria in which earnestly and actively may be interpreted. Much depends on the estimate of employment opportunities in the area. The number of employer contacts which might be appropriate in an area of limited opportunities might be totally unacceptable in another area of unlimited opportunities. The number of contacts that an individual must make is dependent upon the condition of the local labor market, the duration of benefit payments, a change in the individual's characteristics, job prospects in the community, and other factors as the department deems necessary.
- c. Union and professional employees. Members of unions or professional organizations who normally obtain their employment through union or professional organizations are considered as earnestly and actively seeking work if they maintain active contact with the union's business agent or with the placement officer in the professional organization. A paid-up membership must be maintained if this is a requirement for placement service. The trade, profession or union to which the individual belongs must have an active hiring hall or placement facility, and the trade, profession or union must be the source customarily used by employers in filling their job openings. Registering with the individual's union hiring or placement facility is sufficient except that whenever all benefit rights to regular benefits are exhausted and lowa is in an extended benefit period or similar program such as the federal supplemental compensation program, individuals must also actively search for work; mere registration at a union or reporting to union hiring hall or registration with a placement facility of the individual's professional organization does not satisfy the extended benefit systematic and sustained effort to find work, and additional work contacts must be made.
- d. Week-to-week disqualification. Active search for work disqualifications are to be made on a week-to-week basis and are not open-end disqualifications.
- e. Seniority rights. An individual who fails to exercise seniority rights to replace another employee with less seniority has the work search requirement waived during a period of regular benefits. This waiver does not apply to the individual who is receiving extended benefits or similar federal program benefits.
- f. Search for work.

- (1) The lowa law specifies that an individual must earnestly and actively seek work. This is interpreted to mean that a registration for work at a workforce development center or state employment service office in itself does not meet the requirements of the law. Nor is it interpreted to mean that every individual must make a fixed number of employer contacts each week to establish eligibility. The number of contacts that an individual must make is dependent upon the condition of the local labor market, the duration of benefit payments, a change in claimant characteristics, job prospects in the community, and such other factors as the department deems relevant.
- (2) The individual is referred to suitable work, when possible, to those employers who have outstanding requests with the department of workforce development for referrals. The individual must meet the minimum lawful requirements of the employer. The individual applies to and obtains the signatures of the employer so designated on the form provided, unless the employer refuses to sign the form. The individual must return the form to the department as directed. The individual's failure to obtain the signature of designated employers, who have not refused to sign the form, disqualifies the individual from future benefits until requalified by earning ten times the weekly benefit amount.
- (3) The group assignment of individuals is used, to a certain extent, in determining which ones are required to make personal applications for work. Other factors, however, such as the condition of the local labor market, the duration of benefit payments, and a change in claimant characteristics, are also taken into consideration on a weekly basis.
- (4) Individuals receiving partial benefits are exempt from making personal applications for work, in any week they have worked and received wages from their regular employer. Individuals involved in hiring hall practices must keep in weekly touch with the business agent of that union in which they maintain membership. All other individuals must make contacts with such frequency as the department considers advisable, after considering job prospects in the community, the condition of the labor market and any other factors which may have a bearing on the individual's reemployment. A sincere effort must be made to find a job. A contact made merely for the sake of complying with the law is not good enough.
- g. Reverse referral. A reverse referral is defined as an employer hiring only through the department of workforce development and all individuals applying for employment with the employer are referred to the department. An individual may use the department as work contacts during a week with the employer's name and the workforce development employee's name listed as the individual contacted. The workforce development center must be contacted in person by the individual to utilize each reverse referral registration job contact.
- h. Job search assistance. Job search assistance classes, including reemployment services, which are sponsored by the department of workforce development and attended by the individual during a week may be counted as one of the individual's work search contacts for that week.

After considering the testimony of claimant and Kirk, I found Kirk's testimony more credible and convincing. I do not believe claimant applied for a position with Dollar General in Burlington during the week ending June 15, 2024. Kirk provided documentation that she completed after speaking with individuals who work at the Dollar General in Burlington, corroborating her testimony. Additionally, based on my own common sense and experience, I find it wholly believable that Dollar General only accepts online applications.

While claimant alleges he met the requirements for benefits, he has not presented any credible evidence to refute the testimony and documentation from IWD showing he did not apply for a

position with Dollar General during the week ending June 15. Claimant only made two job contacts and engaged in one reemployment activity that week. Claimant did not make an adequate work search and, thus, is not considered able to and available for work for the week ending June 15, 2024. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The June 28, 2024 (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant did file a timely appeal. He failed to make four reemployment activities for the week ending June 15, 2024. Benefits are denied for that week.

Elizabeth A. Johnson

Administrative Law Judge

August 12, 2024

Decision Dated and Mailed

lj/rvs

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

lowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

lowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paquen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.