

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

KAMIL KOSEOGLU
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-04513-AT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 11/12/06 R: 02
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Section 96.4-3 – Work Search

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated May 1, 2007, reference 02, which issued a warning to the claimant based upon a finding that the claimant had not performed an active work search for the week ending April 28, 2007. After reviewing the claimant's appeal letter and Agency benefit payment records, the administrative law judge concludes that no additional testimony is necessary.

ISSUE:

Should the claimant receive a warning for failing to make at least two in-person job contacts during the week in question?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant interviewed with an employer known as Katecho on April 24, 2007. As a result of this interview, he was hired April 26, 2007. He did not make a second job contact, but he reported his earnings for the week ending April 28, 2007. He has not requested benefits since that date.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether the warning should be removed from the claimant's record. It should. The evidence establishes that the claimant's single in-person job contact during the week ending April 28, 2007 resulted in his being hired. Under the circumstances, a second job contact should not be required. The warning is removed.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated May 1, 2007, reference 02, is reversed. The warning is removed from the claimant's record.

Dan Anderson
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css