# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

| HECTOR S SALAS GOMEZ<br>Claimant | APPEAL 21A-UI-00896-AW-T<br>ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE<br>DECISION |
|----------------------------------|--|
| TYSON FRESH MEATS INC            | OC: 09/13/20   |
| Employer                         | Claimant: Respondent (2)   |

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from the December 3, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on February 12, 2021, at 3:00 p.m. Claimant did not participate. Employer participated through Daniel Horton, Human Resources Manager. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

### **ISSUES:**

Whether claimant's separation was a discharge for disqualifying job-related misconduct or a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to employer.

Whether claimant was overpaid benefits.

Whether claimant should repay those benefits and/or whether employer should be charged based upon its participation in the fact-finding interview.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time Food Safety Quality Assurance Technician from February 18, 2013 until his employment with Tyson Fresh Meats ended on June 12, 2020. On June 1, 2020, claimant submitted a written resignation effective June 12, 2020. Claimant stated he was leaving his employment to get a new job and attend school. Claimant brought no issues to employer's attention prior to quitting. Employer had continuing work available for claimant if he had not quit. Claimant's job was not in jeopardy. It is unknown whether claimant began his new job.

The administrative record reflects that claimant has neither filed for nor received unemployment insurance benefits, since filing an original claim on September 13, 2020. Employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily quit his employment without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

lowa Code § 96.5(1) provides: An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, if the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). The standard of what a reasonable person would have believed under the circumstances is applied in determining whether a claimant left work voluntarily with good cause attributable to the employer. *O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993).

Where a claimant gives numerous reasons for leaving employment the agency is required to consider all stated reasons which might combine to give the claimant good cause to quit in determining any of those reasons constitute good cause attributable to the employer. Taylor v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 362 N.W.2d 534 (Iowa 1985).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(3), (26) provide:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (3) The claimant left to seek other employment but did not secure employment.
- (26) The claimant left to go to school.

Claimant's written resignation is both evidence of his intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act of carrying out his intention. Claimant voluntarily quit his employment. Claimant provided two reasons for quitting his job. Claimant quit for other employment; there is no evidence that claimant has performed work for the new employer. Claimant also quit to attend school. The administrative law judge has considered claimant's reasons for quitting and finds that neither of them constitute good cause attributable to the

employer. Claimant has not met his burden of proving he voluntarily quit his employment for good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

Because no benefits were paid to claimant, the issues of overpayment, repayment and chargeability are moot.

#### DECISION:

The December 3, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. The issues of overpayment, repayment and chargeability are moot.

In MAR

Adrienne C. Williamson Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

February 26, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/kmj