IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

DREW NUNEMAKER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-03543-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

VOLT MANAGEMENT CORP

Employer

Original Claim: 01-18-09 Claimant: Respondent (4)

Section 96.5-1 a – Voluntary Leaving – Other Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the February 27, 2009, reference 05, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on March 31, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing. Matt Mausser, Senior Recruiter, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily left his employment to accept employment elsewhere.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time general laborer for Volt Management last assigned to Clipper Wind Power from May 20, 2008 to September 28, 2008. He was hired by Clipper Wind Power and went to work for them until being laid off January 20, 2009.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment to accept employment elsewhere.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

a. The individual left employment in good faith for the sole purpose of accepting other or better employment, which the individual did accept, and the individual performed services in the new employment. Benefits relating to wage credits earned with the employer that the individual has left shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Even though the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer and would, standing alone, disqualify the claimant from receiving benefits, he did leave in order to accept other employment and did perform services for the subsequent employer. Accordingly, benefits are allowed and the account of Volt Management shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The February 27, 2009, reference 05, decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant voluntarily left his employment in order to accept other employment. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of this employer shall not be charged.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
je/kjw	