# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**CALVIN D CROSBY** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-06023-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WNNEBAGO TRIBE OF NEBRASKA WINNAVEGAS

Employer

OC: 03-22-09

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the April 9, 2009, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on May 14, 2009. The claimant did participate. The employer did not participate as the employer did not answer the telephone when the administrative law judge called to begin the hearing. Employer's Exhibit One was received.

## ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged for work related misconduct?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the testimony and all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a porter full time beginning December 17, 2002 through March 5, 2009 when he was discharged.

The claimant was discharged from employment due to a final incident of absenteeism that occurred on February 26, 2009. The claimant was last warned on February 2, 2009, that he faced termination from employment upon another incident of unexcused absenteeism. Prior absences occurred on June 14, 2008, July 6, 2008, August 20, 2008, November 4, 8, 15, 29, 2008, December 26, 2008, January 15, 2009, February 2, 14, 20, 22, and 26, 2009.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

# 871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. Higgins v. lowa Department of Job Service, 350 N.W.2d 187 (lowa 1984).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified when and why the employee is unable to report to work. The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of unexcused absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

## **DECISION:**

tkh/css

The April 4, 2009, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	