# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ANGELA M BALENSIEFEN** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 06A-UI-10041-MT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ITWC

Employer

OC: 09/03/06 R: 03 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated October 9, 2006, reference 03, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on October 30, 2006. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Amy Holland, Director of Administration.

#### ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on August 28, 2006. Claimant quit due to dissatisfaction with the work environment. Claimant was also promised a 25 cent an hour pay raise after three months. Claimant's raise came after almost nine months. Claimant was not granted her pay raise as the raise she received was a cost of living increase.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has established that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because of a breach in the contract of hire. The failure to receive a pay raise when promised is a breach in the employer's duty. This is cause attributable to employer for a quit. Benefits allowed. The quit due to dissatisfaction is a quit without cause. However claimant needed only prove one reason for the quit that is cause attributable to employer. Benefits allowed.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

## **DECISION:**

The	decision	of	the	representative	dated	October 9,	2006,	reference 03,	is	reversed.
Uner	nployment	t ins	urand	ce benefits are a	llowed,	provided cla	aimant is	otherwise elig	gible.	

Marlon Mormann	
Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	

mdm/cs