IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

RANDAL L ARNE Claimant

APPEAL NO. 16A-UI-13441-S1-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WAL-MART STORES INC Employer

> OC: 10/30/16 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit Section 96.6(2) - Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Randal Arne (claimant) appealed a representative's November 30, 2016, decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit work with Wal-Mart Stores (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for January 10, 2017. The claimant participated personally and through Leon Lange, former supervisor. The employer participated by Jennifer Crawford-Lankford, Assistant Manager. Exhibit D-1 was received into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the appeal was filed in a timely manner and, if so, whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on July 15, 2014, and at the end he was working as a full-time technician. The claimant signed for receipt of the employer's handbook on July 15, 2014. The handbook has a policy that states an employee who is absent three times without notice to the employer will be considered to have voluntarily quit work. The claimant was tardy ten times and was absent from time to time. After removing one attendance point from the claimant's record, the employer warned the claimant that he would be terminated if he were absent again.

The claimant knew he would be terminated if he were absent again. When he was absent on July 19, 2016, he decided not to appear for work again because he would be terminated. The claimant did not appear for work or notify the employer of his absences from July 19, 2016, on.

A disqualification decision was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record on November 30, 2016. The claimant did not receive the decision within ten days. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by

December 12, 2016. The claimant found out about the decision on or about December 19, 2016. The appeal was filed on December 19, 2016, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether the claimant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disgualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disgualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision because the decision was not received. Without notice of a disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See *Smith v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The claimant timely appealed the decision when he learned of it. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

The next issue is whether the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer. The administrative law judge concludes he did.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(33) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(33) The claimant left because such claimant felt that the job performance was not to the satisfaction of the employer; provided, the employer had not requested the claimant to leave and continued work was available.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his actions. When an employee quits work because he believes his performance is not to the satisfaction of the employer and the employer has not requested him to leave, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work because he thought his absenteeism would result in his termination even though the employer did not request him to leave. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The representative's November 30, 2016, decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The appeal in this case was timely. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/rvs