IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JOHN CHRISTENSEN

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 13A-UI-00897-BT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

KIMM'S READY MIX INC

Employer

OC: 01/08/12

Claimant: Respondent (2/R)

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit Iowa Code § 96.3-7 - Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Kimm's Ready Mix, Inc. (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated January 14, 2013, reference 01, which held that John Christensen (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on February 25, 2013. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Corrine Kimm, Secretary/Treasurer. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies him to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a seasonal concrete laborer from June 8, 2011 through November 5, 2012. He worked a partial shift on his last day because it was raining. The claimant could have continued working that same day cleaning the office but opted to go home instead. Work continued as usual on December 6, 2012.

The claimant never called or returned to work after December 5, 2012 even though continuing work was available. He understood from a sub-contractor that there was no more work for the year but never asked or spoke with the employer about it. The claimant admitted he learned that his co-worker had returned to work the next week and admitted that he saw vehicles at the plant two weeks after that but still did not contact the employer.

The claimant filed a claim for unemployment insurance benefits effective January 8, 2012 and has received benefits after the separation from employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify him to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if he voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5-1.

In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980) and *Peck v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa Ct. App. 1992). The claimant demonstrated his intent to quit and acted to carry it out by failing to call or return to work after December 5, 2012 even though continuing work was available.

Where an individual mistakenly believes that he is discharged and discontinues coming to work (but was never told he was discharged), the separation is a voluntary quit without cause attributable to the employer. *LaGrange v. lowa Department of Job Service*, (Unpublished lowa Appeals 1984). The administrative law judge believes the same reasoning applies in this case. The claimant mistakenly believed he was laid off due to lack of work, he stopped reporting to work even though he was never told he was laid off and he never spoke with the employer about it. More importantly, the claimant had actual knowledge that continuing work was available but still never contacted the employer.

It is the claimant's burden to prove that the voluntary quit was for a good cause that would not disqualify him. Iowa Code § 96.6-2. He has not satisfied that burden. Benefits are denied.

lowa Code § 96.3(7) provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. The overpayment recovery law was updated in 2008. See Iowa Code § 96.3(7)(b). Under the revised law, a claimant will not be required to repay an overpayment of benefits if all of the following factors are met. First, the prior award of benefits must have been made in connection with a decision regarding the claimant's separation from a particular employment. Second, the claimant must not have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation to obtain the benefits or in connection with the Agency's initial decision to award benefits. Third, the employer must not have participated at the initial fact-finding proceeding that resulted in the initial decision to award benefits. If Workforce Development determines there has been an overpayment of benefits, the employer will not be charged for the benefits, regardless of whether the claimant is required to repay the benefits.

Because the claimant has been deemed ineligible for benefits, any benefits the claimant has received could constitute an overpayment. Accordingly, the administrative law judge will remand the matter to the Claims Division for determination of whether there has been an overpayment, the amount of the overpayment, and whether the claimant will have to repay the benefits.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated January 14, 2013, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until he has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The matter is remanded to the Claims Section for investigation and determination of the overpayment issue.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/css