BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

:

KYLE EIDE

HEARING NUMBER: 08B-UI-09270

Claimant,

.

and

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

DECISION

MITSUBISHI POWER SYSTEMS INC

Employer.

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-a

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

The claimant has requested this matter be remanded for a new hearing. The Employment Appeal Board finds the applicant did not follow the instructions on the notice of hearing. Therefore, good cause has not been established to remand this matter. The remand request is **DENIED**.

The employer submitted a written argument to the Employment Appeal Board. The Employment Appeal Board reviewed the argument. A portion of the argument consisted of additional evidence which

was not While	t contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrat	ive iaw judge.

the argument and additional evidence (documents) were considered, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

John A. Peno
Elizabeth L. Seiser
Monique F. Kuester

sbs