# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ZACH P HONN** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 17A-UI-07051-S1-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**EXPRESS SERVICES INC** 

Employer

OC: 06/18/17

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1-j – Separation from Temporary Employer Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Zach Honn (claimant) appealed a representative's July 11, 2017, decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits due to his separation from work with Express Services (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on July 31, 2017. The claimant participated personally. The employer did not provide a telephone number where it could be reached and therefore, did not participate in the hearing.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The employer is a temporary employment service. The claimant performed services from May 15, 2017, through July 13, 2017. He signed a document indicating he was to contact the employer within three days following the completion of an assignment to request placement in a new assignment. The claimant was not given a copy of the document.

On July 13, 2017, the claimant requested July 14, 2017, off from work from the employer and the client so he could take his daughter to the doctor. Both allowed the claimant the day off. This was the first day the claimant was absent from work. The client ended his assignment due to absenteeism on July 14, 2017. The claimant did not seek reassignment from the employer because he felt he was not treated properly.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was not separated from employment for a disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1)j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. (1) The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.
- (2) To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.
- (3) For the purposes of this paragraph:
- (a) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their workforce during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (b) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Under the lowa Code the employer must advise the claimant of the three day notice requirement and give the claimant a copy of that requirement. The notice requirement must be separate from the contract for hire. The employer did not provide the claimant with the proper notice requirements. It did not give the claimant a copy of the notice requirement. Therefore, the employer failed to satisfy the requirements of lowa Code Section 96.5-1-j. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

## **DECISION:**

The representative's July 11, 2017, decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant was not separated from employment for a disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz

Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/rvs