IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

SANDRA L DUNCAN

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-03858-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

MARVIN MCCARTHY RIGHT WAY SERVICES

Employer

Original Claim: 07/26/09 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Leaving - Layoff

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the March 3, 2010 (reference 01) decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on April 27, 2010. Claimant participated. Employer did not respond to the hearing notice instructions and did not participate. Claimant's Exhibits A and B were admitted to the record.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant was laid off due to a lack of work.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant most recently worked full-time as an office worker and delivery person and was temporarily separated from employment on April 25, 2009 to have hip surgery on May 7, 2009. She was released from medical care on July 25, 2009. While she was recovering, employer ceased business operations and moved from the area.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant completed the seasonal contract of hire and was permanently laid off due to a lack of work.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.1(113)a provides:

Separations. All terminations of employment, generally classifiable as layoffs, quits, discharges, or other separations.

a. Layoffs. A layoff is a suspension from pay status (lasting or expected to last more than seven consecutive calendar days without pay) initiated by the employer without prejudice to the worker for such reasons as: lack of orders, model changeover, termination of seasonal or temporary employment, inventory-taking, introduction of laborsaving devices, plant breakdown, shortage of materials; including temporarily furloughed employees and employees placed on unpaid vacations.

871 IAC 24.26(19) and (22) provide:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

- (19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code § 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code § 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.
- (22) The claimant was hired for a specific period of time and completed the contract of hire by working until this specific period of time had lapsed. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code § 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employees shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

Inasmuch as the claimant was permanently laid off due to a lack of work while on a medical leave of absence, no disqualification is imposed.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements

of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.1(113)a provides:

Separations. All terminations of employment, generally classifiable as layoffs, quits, discharges, or other separations.

a. Layoffs. A layoff is a suspension from pay status (lasting or expected to last more than seven consecutive calendar days without pay) initiated by the employer without prejudice to the worker for such reasons as: lack of orders, model changeover, termination of seasonal or temporary employment, inventory-taking, introduction of laborsaving devices, plant breakdown, shortage of materials; including temporarily furloughed employees and employees placed on unpaid vacations.

871 IAC 24.22(2)c provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.
- c. Intermittent employment. An individual cannot restrict employability to only temporary or intermittent work until recalled by a regular employer.

871 IAC 24.23(27) provides:

(27) Failure to report on a claim that a claimant made any effort to find employment will make a claimant ineligible for benefits during the period. Mere registration at the workforce development center does not establish that a claimant is able and available for suitable work. It is essential that such claimant must actively and earnestly seek work.

871 IAC 24.2(1)c(2) and (3) provide that group "1" claimants are those who no longer meet the definition of group "3" claimants if they are temporarily unemployed for a period "not to exceed four consecutive weeks" because of a lack of work.

Because of being permanently laid off from work, claimant is obligated to make at least two in-person work searches during each week benefits are claimed and may not restrict herself to

temporary or intermittent work while waiting to reapply for work. She has established her ability to work by the medical release dated July 25, 2009.

DECISION:

The March 3, 2010 (reference 01) decision is reversed. The claimant was laid off due to a lack of work and she is able to work. Benefits are allowed, provided she is otherwise eligible; however, she must search for work.

Dévon M. Lewis
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/kjw