

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JOHNNY L WILLIAMS**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-02231-SWT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**STAFFING PROFESSIONALS LLC**  
Employer

**OC: 11/28/10**  
**Claimant: Appellant (4)**

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit  
Section 96.5-1 – Requalification

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

The claimant appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated February 21, 2011, reference 02, that concluded he voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. A telephone hearing was held on March 22, 2011. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. The claimant participated in the hearing. Stacey Navarro participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. The parties agreed that a decision could be made based on the department records.

**ISSUE:**

Has the claimant requalified for unemployment insurance benefits since his separation from the employer?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The claimant separated from his employment with the employer on March 16, 2010. He filed for unemployment insurance benefits effective November 28, 2010. The claimant had been paid wages of over ten times his weekly benefit amount of \$169.00 since his employment with the employer ended, including \$3,862.00 in wages from Patterson Brothers LLC.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants until they have paid wages of at least ten times their weekly benefits amount in later in employment. Iowa Code § 96.5-1 and 96.5-2-a. The claimant had been paid wages of over ten times his weekly benefit amount of \$169.00 since his employment with the employer ended, including \$3,862.00 in wages from Patterson Brothers LLC. He, therefore, is not disqualified from receiving benefits.

**DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated February 21, 2011, reference 02, is modified. The claimant is qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits, despite his separation from the employer because he has been paid wages of over ten times his weekly benefit amount since his employment ended in March 2010. The employer's account is exempt from charge.

---

Steven A. Wise  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

saw/pjs