

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI**

**ANGELA L NEWKIRK  
2539 KENWOOD ST  
OTTUMWA IA 52501**

**APPLE CORPS LP  
APPLEBEES NEIGHBORHOOD GRILL  
& BAR  
1877 N ROCK RD  
WICHITA KS 67206**

**Appeal Number: 05A-UI-11012-LT  
OC: 09-25-05 R: 03  
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Iowa Code §96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct  
Iowa Code §96.5(1)d – Voluntary Leaving/Illness or Injury

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed a timely appeal from the October 20, 2005, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on November 10, 2005. Claimant did participate. Employer did not participate.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a part-time server through February 23, 2005 when she was discharged. She asked for maternity leave effective January 10 due to medical restrictions. She gave birth on February 12 and was off work for ten weeks due to complications. She did keep in contact with Scott a couple of times while she was on maternity leave and he told her she could return

whenever she was ready. Her physician released her to return to work on or about April 23, 2005 at which time she offered her services to employer via Axel, kitchen manager who said the computer showed her as terminated and she would have to speak to Scott, general manager. He told her that she would have to complete another application and she did. He told her to call back the next day and when she did, he told her they would "hold off for now" even though they had an advertisement in the paper seeking employees. Scott never called her to come back to work.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(6)b provides:

(6) Separation because of illness, injury, or pregnancy.

b. Nonemployment related separation. The claimant left because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician. Upon recovery, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the claimant returned and offered to perform services to the employer, but no suitable, comparable work was available. Recovery is defined as the ability of the claimant to perform all of the duties of the previous employment.

Iowa Code §96.5(1)(d) does not require a claimant to return to the employer to offer services after a medical recovery or release if the employment has already been terminated. Porazil v. IWD, No. 3-408 (Iowa Ct. App. Aug. 27, 2003). Although she did, claimant was not required to return to the employer to offer services after the medical recovery because she had already been involuntarily terminated from her employment. Thus, the separation was a discharge.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Employer involuntarily terminated claimant's employment sometime before her medical release from maternity leave on April 23, 2005. No misconduct has been established. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The October 20, 2005, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

dml/pjs