IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

DWAINE H HIGGINS 1409 – 3RD AVE W SPENCER IA 51301

GINGER PAINTING & SANDBLASTING INC 610 – 11TH AVE SW PO BOX 761 SPENCER IA 51301-0761 Appeal Number: 04A-UI-00155-CT

OC: 12/22/02 R: 01 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.4(3) – Able and Available Section 96.3(7) – Recovery of Overpayments

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Ginger Paining & Sandblasting, Inc. (Ginger) filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated December 22, 2003, reference 01, which held that no work had been offered to Dwaine Higgins on November 9, 2003. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone on January 27, 2004. The employer participated by Rebecca Ginger, Vice President. Mr. Higgins did not respond to the notice of hearing.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witness and having reviewed all the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Mr. Higgins has been employed by Ginger for approximately five years. He filed an additional claim for job insurance benefits effective November 2, 2003. He had not been laid off at that point and could have worked at least 40 hours for this employer that week. He chose not to perform available work. Mr. Higgins was paid \$302.00 in job insurance benefits for the week ending November 8, 2003.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

At issue in this matter is whether Mr. Higgins is entitled to job insurance benefits for the week ending November 8, 2003. He chose not to work that week when he could have worked for a minimum of 40 hours. As such, he was voluntarily unemployed the week ending November 8. If he was not available to work the major portion of the workweek for his regular employer, he would not satisfy the availability requirements of lowa Code Section 96.4(3). See 871 IAC 24.23(29). Availability for work is one of the threshold requirements for the receipt of job insurance benefits. For the reasons stated above, it is concluded that Mr. Higgins was not eligible to receive job insurance benefits for the week ending November 8, 2003. He has been overpaid \$302.00 in job insurance benefits for the week.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated December 22, 2003, reference 01, is hereby reversed as to result. Mr. Higgins is ineligible to receive job insurance benefits for the week ending November 8, 2003, as he was voluntarily unemployed and not available for work. He has been overpaid \$302.00 in job insurance benefits.

cfc/b