IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

CHESTER HENDRIX 19907 – 270<sup>TH</sup> ST MC CLELLAND IA 51548

MOSAIC

c/o JOHNSON & ASSOCIATES
PO BOX 6007

OMAHA NE 68106-6007

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-09751-LT

OC: 07-25-04 R: 01 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.* 

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed a timely appeal from the August 31, 2004, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on October 5, 2004. Claimant did participate. Employer did participate through Angela Siefkef and Brett Samson and was represented by Suzanna Ettrich of Johnson & Associates.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time direct service assistant through July 23, 2004 when he quit. Claimant gave his notice of quitting on July 12 and advised employer it was related to the

commuting distance and expensive gas proportionate to his wage but knew that work was an hour drive one-way when hired in August 2003.

He also quit because of a coworker, Mia Harris, who kept touching claimant even after he reported it to Brett Samson as recently as early July. Jackie Washington threatened claimant twice in January or February that she was going to get even with him. Claimant reported it to Gary, Manager, who saw the end of the interaction. No effort was made to investigate but claimant did not take the issue further up the chain of command. Claimant had problems with violent consumers in May after he was hit in the chest in a spot where he had surgery. In early June claimant complained employer was not accommodating light duty. Angela Siefkef advised claimant that she was not aware of a light duty requirement and the personnel office normally handles those issues. There was no evidence that claimant addressed this issue with the personnel office.

The claimant has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of July 25, 2004.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

## 871 IAC 24.25(30) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(30) The claimant left due to the commuting distance to the job; however, the claimant was aware of the distance when hired.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code section 96.6-2 (amended 1998).

An individual who voluntarily leaves their employment must first give notice to the employer of the reasons for quitting in order to give the employer an opportunity to address or resolve the complaint. Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445 (Iowa 1993). An employee who receives a reasonable expectation of assistance from the employer after complaining

about working conditions must complain further if conditions persist in order to preserve eligibility for benefits. Polley v. Gopher Bearing Company, 478 N.W.2d 775 (Minn. App. 1991).

Given claimant's failure to continue to take his issues up the chain of command after dissatisfaction with lower management's action or inaction and his representation that the reason for leaving was related to the commuting distance, the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

#### **DECISION:**

The August 31, 2004, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$3,030.00.

dml/pjs