

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**SAMUEL J BECK**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 15A-UI-10227-JTT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE  
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 05/31/15**  
**Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Iowa Code Section 96.3(7) – Overpayment

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Samuel Beck filed a timely appeal from the September 9, 2015, reference 09, decision that held he was overpaid \$416.00 in benefits for the week that ended August 1, 2015, based on an earlier decision that denied benefits for that week. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on September 29, 2015. Mr. Beck participated. The hearing in this matter was consolidated with the hearing in Appeal Numbers 15A-UI-10224-JTT, 15A-UI-10225-JTT, and 15A-UI-10226-JTT. Exhibit A and Department Exhibits D-1 and D-2 were received into evidence.

**ISSUE:**

Whether Mr. Beck was overpaid \$416.00 in benefits for the week that ended August 1, 2015. He was.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Samuel Beck established a claim for benefits that was effective May 31, 2015 and received benefits. The benefits that Mr. Beck received included \$416.00 for the week that ended August 1, 2015. On September 2, 2015, a Workforce Development claims deputy entered a reference 07, decision that denied benefits for the week that ended August 1, 2015, based on a conclusion that Mr. Beck had failed to meet the work search requirement for the week after a previous warning. The September 2, 2015, reference 07, decision prompted the overpayment decision from which Mr. Beck appeals in this matter. The reference 07 decision has been affirmed on appeal in Appeal Number 15A-UI-10226-JTT.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.3(7) provides that if a claimant receives benefits and is deemed ineligible for benefits, Workforce Development must recover the benefits and the claimant must repay the benefits, even if the claimant was not at fault in receiving the benefits. Because Mr. Beck received \$416.00 in benefits for the week that ended August 1, 2015, but has been deemed

ineligible for those benefits, the benefits constitute an overpayment of benefits that Mr. Beck must repay.

**DECISION:**

The claimant's appeal from September 9, 2015, reference 09, decision is affirmed. The claimant was overpaid \$416.00 in benefits for the week that ended August 1, 2015. The claimant must repay that amount.

---

James E. Timberland  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/css