IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

HANG THIL HA

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-21054-LJ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 03/22/20

Claimant: Appellant (6)

lowa Code § 96.3(7) – Overpayment of Benefits

lowa Code § 96.16(4) – Offenses and Misrepresentation

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-25.1 - Misrepresentation & Fraud

lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

lowa Code § 17A.12(3) - Default Decision

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) – Dismissal of Appeal on Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant, Hang Thi L. Ha, filed an appeal from the April 6, 2021 (reference 05) lowa Workforce Development ("IWD") unemployment insurance decision which concluded the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits because they failed to accurately report earnings while also filing claims for unemployment insurance benefits. IWD also imposed a 15% administrative penalty due to misrepresentation.

Notice of the hearing was mailed to the claimant's last known address of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 1:15 p.m. on Monday, November 22, 2021. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system the same day shows the claimant/appellant was not available at the telephone number provided for the scheduled hearing and no hearing was held.

ISSUE:

Should the appeal be dismissed based on the claimant/appellant's failure to appear and participate?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The party was properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. The appellant was not available at the telephone number provided for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice.

The agency's decision concluded the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits because they failed to accurately report earnings from when concurrently filing claims for unemployment insurance benefits. IWD also imposed a 15% administrative penalty due to misrepresentation.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The lowa Administrative Procedure Act at lowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall deny the motion to vacate.

Agency rules at Iowa Admin. Code r. 26.14(7) provides:

If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provided in lowa Code § 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing in writing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.

- a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.
- b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party.
- c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record. (Emphasis added.)

The lowa Supreme Court has opined that a default should not be set aside for ordinary negligence or want of ordinary care. Defaults should not be set aside where the movant ignores plain instruction with ample opportunity to comply. See *Houlihan v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 545 N.W.2d 863 (lowa 1996). Here, the clear directive is to read the hearing notice and register a telephone number where the party can be reached for the hearing. The second part of that directive is to be available at the number provided at the date and time of the hearing. Further, if the party misses or does not receive the hearing call, he or she may call the telephone numbers on the hearing notice. Due process requires notice and an opportunity to be heard, both of which were provided to each party. The rule does not provide exceptions for good intentions and/or a party contacting the Appeals Bureau within a 'reasonable' or certain amount of time after the hearing is scheduled.

The claimant/appellant, Hang Thi L. Ha, appealed the unemployment insurance decision but failed to be available to participate in the scheduled hearing. The appellant has therefore defaulted on their appeal pursuant to lowa Code § 17A.12(3) and lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.14(7), and the decision remains in force and effect.

If the claimant/appellant disagrees with this decision, a written request to reopen the record must be made to the administrative law judge within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the end of this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at the scheduled time.

DECISION:

The claimant/appellant is in default and the appeal is dismissed. The unemployment insurance decision dated April 6, 2021, (reference 05) establishing and overpayment of benefits and imposing a 15% penalty remains in effect.

Elizabeth A. Johnson

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

November 30, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

lj/lj