IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ARIEL E MILLS

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-16109-S2-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

SWIFT PORK COMPANY

Employer

OC: 08/02/20

Claimant: Appellant (4R)

Iowa Code § 96.19(38)a & b – Total and Partial Unemployment

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) - Availability Disqualifications Same Hours and Wages

Iowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) - Same Base Period Employment

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) - Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the November 9, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits because she was working enough hours to be considered employed and removed from the labor market. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on February 1, 2021. The claimant participated. The employer did not register for the hearing and did not participate. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative file. Claimant's exhibits A and B were admitted.

ISSUES:

Is claimant's appeal timely?
Is the claimant totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed?
Was the claimant able to and available for work effective August 2, 2020?
Has the claimant been overpaid benefits?
Is the employer's account subject to charge?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

As claimant was the only witness, the administrative law judge makes the following findings of fact based solely upon claimant's evidence: Claimant was employed full-time as a meat cutter from October 28, 2019, and was separated from employment on August 23, 2020, when she was terminated.

On August 2, 2020, employer sent claimant home from work because she was ill. She was having chest pain and was having trouble breathing. She was told she could not return to work until she saw a doctor and had a release to return to work. Claimant saw a doctor, and after getting x-rays and blood work, claimant was release to return to work on August 8, 2020. She returned to work at her same hours and wages.

On August 18, 2020, claimant was suspended without pay pending an investigation into a dispute claimant had with other employees. On August 23, 2020, employer terminated claimant's employment.

The administrative record reflects that claimant filed her claim on August 2, 2020. She has received unemployment benefits in the amount of \$1,470.00 in the three-week period ending August 22, 2020.

A disqualification decision was mailed to claimant's last known address of record on November 9, 2020. She did receive the decision within ten days. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by November 19, 2020. The appeal was filed on November 16, 2020, which is before the date noticed on the disqualification decision.

There has been no initial investigation or decision regarding the issue of separation from employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal is timely.

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Bd. of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (lowa 1976). Pursuant to rules lowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. *Messina v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (lowa 1983). The postage meter mark on the last day for filing does not perfect a timely appeal if the postmark affixed by the United States Postal Service is beyond the filing date. *Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company of Cedar Rapids v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 465 N.W.2d 674 (lowa Ct. App. 1990).

Claimant filed her appeal on November 16, 2020. This is within the ten days given to appeal a decision. The appeal is timely.

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes:

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Code § 96.19(38) provides:

"Total and partial unemployment".

- a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.
- b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:
- (1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- (2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23 provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(1) An individual who is ill and presently not able to perform work due to illness.

. . .

(26) Where a claimant is still employed in a part-time job at the same hours and wages as contemplated in the original contract for hire and is not working on a reduced workweek basis different from the contract for hire, such claimant cannot be considered partially unemployed.

Iowa Code section 96.7(2)a(2)(a), (b), and (c) provides:

- 2. Contribution rates based on benefit experience.
- a. (2) The amount of regular benefits plus fifty percent of the amount of extended benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the account of the employers in the base period in the inverse chronological order in which the employment of the individual occurred.
- (a) However, if the individual to whom the benefits are paid is in the employ of a base period employer at the time the individual is receiving the benefits, and the individual is receiving the same employment from the employer that the individual received during the individual's base period, benefits paid to the individual shall not be charged against the account of the employer. This provision applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding subparagraph (3) and section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (b) An employer's account shall not be charged with benefits paid to an individual who left the work of the employer voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer or to an individual who was discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment, or to an individual who failed without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work or to accept suitable work with that employer, but shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (c) The amount of benefits paid to an individual, which is solely due to wage credits considered to be in an individual's base period due to the exclusion and substitution of calendar quarters from the individual's base period under section 96.23, shall be charged against the account of the employer responsible

for paying the workers' compensation benefits for temporary total disability or during a healing period under section 85.33, section 85.34, subsection 1, or section 85A.17, or responsible for paying indemnity insurance benefits.

Between August 2 and 7, 2020, claimant was totally unemployed as she was not performing services or receiving wages. However, she was out of work due to illness. Claimant has not established she is able to and available for work for the week ending August 8, 2020, even under the United States Department of Labor's guidance to flexibly interpret this requirement. See Unemployment Insurance Program Letter No. 10-20. Therefore, claimant is not eligible for regular, state-funded unemployment insurance benefits for the week ending August 8, 2020.

Claimant worked her regular hours between August 8 and August 17, 2020, therefore, she was not totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed. Claimant is not eligible for regular, state-funded unemployment insurance benefits for the week ending August 15, 2020.

Claimant has the burden of proving that she was able to and available for work. The only reason claimant did not work during the one-week period ending August 22, 2020 was employer's insistence that she remain home pending an investigation. Claimant had no barriers to employment. Claimant was able to and available for work and, thus, is entitled to benefits for the one-week period ending August 22, 2020, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

The issues of separation from employment and whether claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits should be remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and determination.

DECISION:

The November 9, 2020, reference 02, unemployment insurance decision is modified in favor of the appellant. Claimant was not able to and available for work during the week ending August 8, 2020, and is therefore not eligible for benefits for that week. Claimant was not totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed during the week ending August 15, 2020, and is therefore not eligible for benefits for that week. The employer's account shall not be charged for those two weeks. The claimant was able to and available for work during the week ending August 22, 2020, and is eligible for benefits for that week, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. f

REMAND:

The issues of separation from employment and whether the claimant was overpaid benefits are remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial investigation and determination.

Stephanie Adkisson

Stephane alpesson

Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515)478-3528

February 17, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

sa/mh

NOTE TO CLAIMANT: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information how apply for **PUA** found on to can be at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.