

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**DARRICK J BREHM**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-14110-JTT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**AGRI STAR MEAT & POULTRY LLC**  
Employer

**OC: 01/03/10**  
**Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Iowa Code Section 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit  
871 IAC 26.8(5) – Decision on the Record

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Darrick Brehm appealed from an unemployment insurance decision dated September 28, 2010, reference 03, that denied benefits based on an Agency conclusion that he had voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. A telephone hearing was scheduled for November 30, 2010. Mr. Brehm did not respond to the hearing notice instructions and did not participate in the hearing. The employer was available for the hearing through Laura Althouse. Based on the appellant's failure to participate in the hearing, the administrative file, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

The claimant contacted the administrative law judge after the hearing record had closed and the employer had been dismissed. The claimant indicated he had received the hearing notice, but had not provided a telephone number for the hearing in response to the hearing notice instructions to do so. The claimant failed to provide good cause to re-open the hearing record.

**ISSUE:**

Decision on the record.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal by notice mailed on November 3, 2010. The appellant, Darrick Brehm, failed to provide a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. There is no evidence the hearing notice was returned by the postal service as undeliverable for any reason.

The administrative law judge has conducted a careful review of the administrative file to determine whether the unemployment insurance decision should be affirmed. The claimant's appeal is on its face late. The appeal deadline was October 8, 2010. The appeal was filed on October 12, 2010.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

871 IAC 26.8(3), (4) and (5) provide:

Withdrawals and postponements.

(3) If, due to emergency or other good cause, a party, having received due notice, is unable to attend a hearing or request postponement within the prescribed time, the presiding officer may, if no decision has been issued, reopen the record and, with notice to all parties, schedule another hearing. If a decision has been issued, the decision may be vacated upon the presiding officer's own motion or at the request of a party within 15 days after the mailing date of the decision and in the absence of an appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals. If a decision is vacated, notice shall be given to all parties of a new hearing to be held and decided by another presiding officer. Once a decision has become final as provided by statute, the presiding officer has no jurisdiction to reopen the record or vacate the decision.

(4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision may be heard ex parte by the presiding officer. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as a grounds for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.

(5) If good cause for postponement or reopening has not been shown, the presiding officer shall make a decision based upon whatever evidence is properly in the record.

The administrative law judge has carefully reviewed evidence in the record and concludes that the unemployment insurance decision previously entered in this case is correct and should be affirmed. In the absence of testimony from the claimant to establish good cause to treat his late appeal as a timely appeal, the administrative law judge would have no jurisdiction or authority to disturb the decision that disqualified he claimant for benefits.

Pursuant to the rule, the appellant must make a written request to the administrative law judge that the hearing be reopened within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the beginning of this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time.

**DECISION:**

The Agency representative's September 28, 2010, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The decision that denied benefits based on a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to the employer remains in effect. This decision will become final unless a written request establishing

good cause to reopen the record is made to the administrative law judge within 15 days of the date of this decision.

---

James E. Timberland  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/css