IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

KATRINA D MCMANN

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 18A-UI-10397-S1-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

QPS EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC

Employer

OC: 07/29/18

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-1-j – Separation from Temporary Employer Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

QPS Employment Group (employer) appealed a representative's October 10, 2018, decision (reference 01) that concluded Katrina McMann (claimant) was eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for November 1, 2018. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Mai Lor, Unemployment Specialist, and Melissa Janss, Lead Placement Coordinator. The employer offered and Exhibit 1 was received into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The employer is a temporary employment service. The claimant performed services off and on at Hill Phoenix from July 10, 2017, through August 30, 2018. She signed a document on June 26, 2017, indicating she was to contact the employer within three working days following the completion of an assignment to request placement in a new assignment. The document did indicate the consequences of a failure to notify the employer. The employer did not give the claimant a copy of the document when she signed it, even though the document indicates she received one. The document was separate from the contract for hire. The employer remembers giving the claimant a copy of the document in May 2018. The claimant did not see the printed document while she was employed.

The claimant completed her last assignment on August 30, 2018. On August 31, 2018, the lead placement coordinator called the claimant to say the assignment had ended and the claimant could not be placed at Hill Phoenix again. She told the claimant that Hill Phoenix was willing to hire the claimant directly in the future when production increased but not through the employer. The claimant questioned the coordinator and asked "So you can't place me?" The coordinator

told her she could not. The claimant assumed there were no other assignments available and never called the employer again.

The claimant filed for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of July 29, 2018. The employer participated personally at the fact finding interview on September 28, 2018, by Jennifer Yang.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was not separated from employment for a disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1)j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. (1) The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.
- (2) To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.
- (3) For the purposes of this paragraph:
- (a) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their workforce during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (b) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Under the lowa Code the employer must advise the claimant of the three day notice requirement and give the claimant a copy of that requirement. The notice requirement must be separate from the contract for hire. The employer did not provide the claimant with the proper

notice requirements and has, therefore, failed to satisfy the requirements of Iowa Code Section 96.5-1-j. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

DECISION:

The representative's October 10, 2018, decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant was separated from the employer for good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/rvs