IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

KELLEY L SANGER Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-12883-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ABCM CORPORATION

Employer

OC: 03/15/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timely Appeal Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Kelley L Sanger, the claimant/appellant, filed an appeal from the July 6, 2020, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision that denied REGULAR unemployment insurance benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on August 3, 2021. Ms. Sanger participated and testified. The employer participated through Jayne Udelhoven, human resources coordinator. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Is the Ms. Sanger's appeal filed on time? Did Ms. Sanger voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer? Is Ms. Sanger able to and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to Ms. Sanger at the correct address on July 6, 2020. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) Appeals Section by July 16, 2020. Ms. McKinney received the decision in the mail on, or about, July 9, 2020.

Ms. Sanger called IWD to talk about the decision and her options. The representative told Ms. Sanger about Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) benefits. Ms. Sanger applied for PUA benefits that same day. Ms. Sanger did not file an appeal of the July 6, 2020 decision because she had applied for PUA benefits.

On May 25, 2021, IWD issued three different decisions finding Ms. Sanger was overpaid REGULAR unemployment insurance (UI) benefits, Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits and Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation

(PEUC) benefits. Ms. Sanger filed an appeal online on May 26, 2021. The appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on May 26, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the Ms. Sanger's appeal was not filed on time.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Ms. Sanger received the decision in the mail before the deadline and, therefore, could have filed an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. The notice provision of the decision was valid. Ms. Sanger applied for PUA benefits instead of appealing the July 6, 2020 decision denying REGULAR, state benefits. Ms. Sanger's delay was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay. Ms. Sanger's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issues in this matter.

DECISION:

Ms. Sanger's appeal was not filed on time. The July 6, 2020, (reference 05) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed.

Hemal 300

Daniel Zeno Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

August 6, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

dz/kmj