IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

BEVERLY GILLEY Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-10222-J1-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

MASTERBRAND CABINETS INC

Employer

OC: 04/12/20 Claimant: APPELLANT (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On August 21, 2020, the claimant filed an appeal from the August 13, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based on voluntary quit. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 6, 2020. Claimant participated. Employer did not participate. Exhibit A was admitted into the record.

ISSUES:

Did claimant voluntarily quit her employment? Did claimant's employment end due a disqualifiable reason?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on February 2, 2017. Claimant last worked as a full-time production worker. Claimant was separated from employment on June 10, 2020, when she was fired. (Ex. A). Claimant had a discussion with her supervisor on June 9, 2020 when she questioned why someone with less seniority than her was able to bid and obtain positions, when she was not. Claimant received a call the next day telling her she was terminated. Exhibit A reflects that the employer fired claimant and does not provide any reason for the firing.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies claimants who voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer or who are discharged for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5(1) and 96.5(2)a. The burden of proof rests with the employer to show that the claimant voluntarily left his employment. *Irving v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 883 N.W.2d 179 (Iowa 2016). A voluntary quitting of employment requires that an employee exercise a voluntary choice between remaining employed or terminating the employment relationship. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal*

Bd., 447 N.W.2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438, 440 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

The claimant did not quit her employment. Claimant was fired.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979). The employer has the burden to prove the claimant was discharged for job-related misconduct. *Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). The question is not whether the employer made the correct decision in ending claimant's employment, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). Misconduct justifying termination of an employee and misconduct warranting denial of unemployment insurance benefits are two different things. *Pierce v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 425 N.W.2d 679 (Iowa Ct. App. 1988).

The employer has not proven claimant committed job related misconduct. The claimant was discharged for no disqualifiable reason.

DECISION:

Regular Unemployment Insurance Benefits Under State Law

The August 13, 2020, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Benefits are payable, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

June F Ellist

James F. Elliott Administrative Law Judge

October 8, 2020 Decision Dated and Mailed

je/scn