IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

HEATHER M DYAR Claimant

APPEAL 24A-UI-02990-S2-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AMAZON.COM SERVICES INC Employer

Employe

OC: 02/04/24 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the March 8, 2024, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based upon a finding that claimant was discharged due to excessive absences, but her absences were due to illness and were properly reported. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 9, 2024. Claimant Heather Dyar participated and testified. Employer Amazon.com Services, Inc. participated through human resources business partner Miraldo Michel. Employer's Exhibit 1 was received. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct? Has the claimant been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits, and if so, can the repayment of those benefits to the agency be waived?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed part time as a fulfillment associate from July 10, 2022, and was separated from employment on February 7, 2024, when she was discharged.

Employer has a no-fault attendance policy, which designates points to attendance infractions. An employee is subject to discharge if they incur eight points within a 60-day period. Employees working in a flex RT position, a reduced-time position, are able to schedule their shifts theselves, so long as they work at least 30 hours each week. A flex employee will accumulate points for missing all or a portion of scheduled shifts without using available PTO or vacation time, dropping a scheduled shift with less than 16 hours notice, and for working less than 30 hours in a week. Employee does not maintain a progressive disciplinary policy for attendance infractions; instead, employees receive notification by text or email each time they accumulate a point, and are warned of impending termination as they get closer to eight points.

Claimant did not receive a copy of the policy relating to flex RT employees when she transferred to the position effective November 20, 2024. She was unaware she was required to work 30 hours per week or give at least 16 hours notice of dropping a shift, nor was she aware she would accumulate points for failing to do so.

In May 2023, claimant requested an accommodation for a non-work related medical condition related to her spine which caused severe pain. Claimant had a ten-pound lifting restriction, and employer accommodated the restriction for approximately two months. In July 2023 employer was no longer able to accommodate the request. Claimant requested, and was approved for, a leave of absence. While claimant was on leave, she received an additional restriction from her medical provider, limiting her to working no more than four hours in a shift in order to manage the pain. She provided the restriction to employer to include with her accommodation for when she returned to work. In November 2023, claimant returned to work and transferred into the flex RT position. Before claimant returned, she had several conversations with Disability Services to ensure her hour and weight restrictions were in place, and she was assured by the Disability Services department that they were.

Employer assessed points to claimant based upon the following absences: On January 20 and 27, 2024, claimant accumulated one point each day for leaving work early; on January 10, 2024, she received two points for picking up a shift but failing to show up to work; on December 15, 2023, and January 18, 2024, claimant received two points each day for dropping a shift less than sixteen hours in advance. Each of claimant's absences, including reduced hours and dropped shifts, were related to her medical condition.

When claimant realized employer assigned points for reasons she believed were covered under her approved accommodation, she contacted Disability Services. Employer assured claimant the points should not have been assigned and would be removed and she did not need to worry about the threat of termination.

The final absence occurred on February 3, 2024, when claimant left work early due to her medical condition. On February 6, 2024, employer discharged claimant for exceeding eight points.

The administrative record reflects that claimant has received unemployment benefits in the amount of \$1,638.00, since filing a claim with an effective date of February 4, 2024, for the six weeks ending April 6, 2024. Employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

Excessive absences are not considered misconduct unless unexcused. Absences due to properly reported illness cannot constitute work-connected misconduct since they are not volitional, even if the employer was fully within its rights to assess points or impose discipline up to or including discharge for the absence under its attendance policy. Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7); Gaborit v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 734 N.W.2d 554 (Iowa Ct. App. 2007). Medical documentation is not essential to a determination that an absence due to illness should be treated as excused. Gaborit, supra. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer. Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7) (emphasis added); see Higgins v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 350 N.W.2d 187, 190, n. 1 (Iowa 1984) holding "rule [2]4.32(7)...accurately states the law." The requirements for a finding of misconduct based on absences are therefore twofold. First, the absences must be excessive. Sallis v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 437 N.W.2d 895 (lowa 1989). The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. Higgins at 192. Second, the absences must be unexcused. Cosper v. lowa Dept. of Job Serv., 321 N.W. 2d 10

(Iowa 1982). The requirement of "unexcused" can be satisfied in two ways. An absence can be unexcused either because it was not for "reasonable grounds," *Higgins* at 191, or because it was not "properly reported," holding excused absences are those "with appropriate notice." *Cosper* at 10.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Higgins, 350 N.W.2d at 190 (lowa 1984). Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping is not considered excused. Id. at 191. Absences due to illness or injury must be properly reported in order to be excused. Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 10-11 (Iowa 1982). Absences in good faith, for good cause, with appropriate notice, are not misconduct. Id. at 10. They may be grounds for discharge but not for disqualification of benefits because substantial disregard for the employer's interest is not shown and this is essential to a finding of misconduct. Id. Excessive absenteeism has been found when there have been seven unexcused absences in five months; five unexcused absences and three instances of tardiness in eight months; three unexcused absences over an eight-month period; three unexcused absences over seven months; and missing three times after being warned. See Higgins, 350 N.W.2d at 192 (Iowa 1984); Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa App. 1984); Armel v. EAB, 2007 WL 3376929*3 (Iowa App. Nov. 15, 2007); Hiland v. EAB, No. 12-2300 (Iowa App. July 10, 2013); and Clark v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 317 N.W.2d 517 (lowa App. 1982).

Based on the evidence presented, the administrative law judge concludes the employer has not established that claimant had excessive absences which would be considered unexcused for purposes of unemployment insurance eligibility. Because the last absence, on February 3, 2024, was related to properly reported illness or other reasonable grounds, no final or current incident of unexcused absenteeism occurred which establishes work-connected misconduct. Since employer has not established a current or final act of misconduct, and, without such, the history of other incidents need not be examined. Accordingly, benefits are allowed.

Because claimant is eligible for benefits, the issues of overpayment of regular unemployment insurance benefits and relief of charges are moot.

DECISION:

The March 8, 2024, (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. There was no disqualifying separation with this employer. The claimant is allowed benefits, provided they remain otherwise eligible. The issues of overpayment, repayment and chargeability are moot.

Stephaned alkesson

Stephanie Adkisson Administrative Law Judge

April 12, 2024_ Decision Dated and Mailed **APPEAL RIGHTS.** If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.

- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Iowa Employment Appeal Board 6200 Park Avenue Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa 50321 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.

2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.

3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.

4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https:///www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.