# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ROBERT A HUFFMAN** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-10240-H2T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PINE LAKE COUNTRY CLUB

Employer

OC: 06-26-11

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Protest

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed a timely appeal from the August 2, 2011 (reference 01) decision that allowed benefits and found the protest untimely. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on August 30, 2011. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through Kristi Myers, Manager. Department's Exhibit D-1 was entered and received into the record.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether employer's protest is timely.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant's notice of claim was mailed to employer's address of record on July 12, 2011, and was received by employer within ten days. The notice of claim contains a warning that any protest must be postmarked, faxed or returned not later than ten days from the initial mailing date. Employer did not file a protest until July 25, 2011, which is after the ten-day period had expired. No good cause reason has been established for the delay. The employer's mistaken belief that a fax sent after 5:00 p.m. on July 22 would not be accepted is not good cause for filing a late protest. Additionally, the employer's decision to have only one person deal with the mail is not good cause for filing a late protest when that employee is gone on vacation.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge concludes that employer has failed to protest within the time period prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law.

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date

of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Another portion of this same Code section dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states that such an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the Iowa Supreme Court held that this statute prescribing the time for notice of appeal clearly limits the time to do so, and that compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979).

The administrative law judge considers the reasoning and holding of that court in that decision to be controlling on this portion of that same lowa Code § which deals with a time limit in which to file a protest after notification of the filing of the claim has been mailed. The employer has not shown any good cause for not complying with the jurisdictional time limit. Therefore, the administrative law judge is without jurisdiction to entertain any appeal regarding the separation from employment.

Employer's decision to hold the mail while the business operations continued during the manager's absence was a business decision that does not amount to good case for the delay in filing a timely protest. The delay was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 4.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has failed to timely protest pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's termination of employment. See Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979); Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979) and Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company v. Employment Appeal Board, 465 N.W.2d 674 (Iowa App. 1990).

### **DECISION:**

tkh/css

The August 2, 2011 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. Employer has failed to file a timely protest, and the decision of the representative shall stand and remain in full force and effect.

Teresa K. Hillary Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	