

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

JEREMY L YOUNG
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 19A-UI-09574-B2T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 10/20/19
Claimant: Appellant (2R)**

Iowa Code § 96.3-7 – Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant appealed a representative's decision dated November 27, 2019, reference 03, that concluded claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance as a result of a disqualification decision. A hearing was scheduled and held on January 6, 2020 pursuant to due notice. Claimant did participate.

ISSUE:

Whether claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The overpayment issue in this case was created by a ruling claimant was improperly paid unemployment benefits. Claimant was deemed to have been overpaid \$170.00 for the one week ending November 16, 2019.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
 - a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
 - b. (1)(a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the

account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.

(b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The administrative law judge concludes that for the purposes of the underlying claim of 19-UI-09573-B2 wherein the issue before the administrative law judge was only regarding claimant being able and available for work, claimant was not overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$170.00 for the one week ending November 16, 2019. As 19-UI-09573-B2 has been remanded to the fact finder on the issue of separation, this matter will also be remanded for determination.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated November 27, 2019, reference 03, is reversed and remanded to the fact finder. Claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$170.00 as a result of claimant's being able and available for work, but may be disqualified from benefits based on the separation issue or for other reasons.

Blair A. Bennett
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bab/scn