# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**TYLER REAMS** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 10A-UI-05248-BT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

MORTON BUILDINGS INC

Employer

OC: 03/07/10

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)(a) - Discharge for Misconduct

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Tyler Reams (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated March 31, 2010, reference 01, which held that he was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit his employment with Morton Buildings, Inc. (employer) without good cause attributable to the employer. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for May 13, 2010. The employer elected not to participate and advised the Appeals Section the hearing could be held when convenient for the claimant. The hearing was held on April 30, 2010 and the claimant participated in the hearing. Claimant's Exhibit A was admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the party, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

# **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-related misconduct.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was employed as a full-time crew manager from December 2008 through March 4, 2010. He was discharged because he was unable to perform the essential functions of his job but had exhausted his medical leave. The claimant is able to perform work but had work restrictions from a non-work-related medical condition.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The issue in this case is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify him to receive unemployment insurance benefits. All terminations of employment are generally classified as layoffs, quits, discharges or other separations. 871 IAC 24.1(113)(a). A claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if he voluntarily quits employment without good cause attributable to the employer or an employer has discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§ 96.5-1 and 96.5-2-a.

The evidence establishes the claimant was unable to work due to a non-work-related medical condition. When an employee is unable to work and does not return to work due to a non-work-related medical condition, the separation is typically considered to be a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are then denied until the claimant completely recovers and returns to offer his services to the employer. However, in the case herein, the employer took the first step and discharged the claimant for the same reasons. When the employer initiates a separation, the reasons must constitute work-connected misconduct before a claimant can be denied unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant's separation from employment was not due to any misconduct on his part nor did he quit his job. The claimant is qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits, provided he is otherwise eligible.

#### **DECISION:**

sda/pjs

The unemployment insurance decision dated March 31, 2010, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant was discharged. Misconduct has not been established. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Susan D. Ackerman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed