

**IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU**

AMANDA M WEBBER
Claimant

TMONE LLC
Employer

APPEAL NO. 23A-UI-03610-JT-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**OC: 02/26/23
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Iowa Code Section 96.5(2)(a) – Discharge

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On April 3, 2023, Amanda Webber (claimant) filed a timely appeal from the March 24, 2023 (reference 01) decision that disqualified her for benefits and that relieved the employer's account of liability for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant was discharged on March 1, 2023 for failure to follow instructions in the performance of her job. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on April 19, 2023. Claimant participated. The employer did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to call the designated toll-free number at the time of the hearing. The employer received timely notice of the hearing, as indicated by the employer representative's April 12, 2023 emailed request for fact-finding interview materials. Exhibit A, the claimant's appeal letter, was received into evidence.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct in connection with the employment.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Amanda Webber (claimant) was employed by TMone, L.L.C. as a Customer Service Representative from July 2021 until March 1, 2023, when the employer discharged her from the employment for not meeting performance metrics pertaining to the number of calls handled per hour and the handling time of the calls. Toward the end of the employment the claimant was assigned to field customer complaints from Chipotle customers.

The claimant disclosed to the employer at the time of hire that she had disabilities that include rheumatoid arthritis and bipolar disorder.

About a year before the claimant separated from the employment, she relocated from the Des Moines metropolitan area to Cedar Rapids. In connection with that move, and to continue the employment, the claimant transitioned from working at a call center in the Des Moines area to working from her home in Cedar Rapids. Shortly after the claimant transitioned to working from home, the employer closed the call center where the claimant had previously worked.

Prior to September 2022, the claimant worked a part-time schedule, noon to 5:00 p.m. five days a week. The claimant desired to work additional hours. In September 2022, the claimant commenced working 2:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. five days a week. In November 2022, the employer amended the work schedule to noon to 11:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time four days a week.

The claimant found the longer hours physically and mentally challenging. The claimant could not type as fast when her hands ached from rheumatoid arthritis flare-ups. Though the claimant had previously met the employer's performance metrics regarding number of calls per hour and call length, the claimant's performance began to fall below these metrics despite the claimant's continued good faith effort.

The claimant's ability to meet the performance metrics was also impacted by equipment issues. The claimant requested a replacement computer. The employer provided a replacement computer that the claimant initially could not sign onto due to an IT representative's error.

During this period when the claimant's performance fell below the performance standard, the employer coached the claimant on the issue, but assured the claimant the employer would continue to work with the claimant. The claimant continued to put forth a good faith effort.

Two weeks before the employer discharged the claimant from the employment, the employer returned the claimant to a part-time work schedule. The employer told the claimant that if she did not meet the performance metrics within a week, she would be discharged. When the claimant reminded the employer she was a person with disabilities, that she had disclosed this to the employer at the time of hire, and that the disabilities were impacting her ability to meet the performance metrics. The employer said the claimant would need to go to her doctor to get a statement of how her disabilities impacted her work. The claimant and her doctor were willing to comply with this request, but the employer did not provide the requisite form. Instead, the employer summoned the claimant to a Zoom meeting on March 1, 2023 and discharged her for not meeting the performance metrics. The claimant had continued to perform the work in good faith and to the best of her ability until she was discharged.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d) provides as follows:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

...

d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

- (1) Material falsification of the individual's employment application.
- (2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.
- (3) Intentional damage of an employer's property.
- (4) Consumption of alcohol, illegal or nonprescribed prescription drugs, or an impairing substance in a manner not directed by the manufacturer, or a combination of such substances, on the employer's premises in violation of the employer's employment policies.
- (5) Reporting to work under the influence of alcohol, illegal or nonprescribed prescription drugs, or an impairing substance in an off-label manner, or a combination of such substances, on the employer's premises in violation of the employer's employment policies, unless the individual is compelled to work by the employer outside of scheduled or on-call working hours.
- (6) Conduct that substantially and unjustifiably endangers the personal safety of coworkers or the general public.
- (7) Incarceration for an act for which one could reasonably expect to be incarcerated that results in missing work.
- (8) Incarceration as a result of a misdemeanor or felony conviction by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.
- (10) Falsification of any work-related report, task, or job that could expose the employer or coworkers to legal liability or sanction for violation of health or safety laws.
- (11) Failure to maintain any license, registration, or certification that is reasonably required by the employer or by law, or that is a functional requirement to perform the individual's regular job duties, unless the failure is not within the control of the individual.
- (12) Conduct that is libelous or slanderous toward an employer or an employee of the employer if such conduct is not protected under state or federal law.
- (13) Theft of an employer or coworker's funds or property.
- (14) Intentional misrepresentation of time worked or work carried out that results in the individual receiving unearned wages or unearned benefits.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

- a. For the purposes of this rule, "misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such a degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

- (1) Willful and deliberate falsification of the individual's employment application.

- (2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.
- (3) Intentional damage of an employer's property.
- (4) Consumption of alcohol, illegal or nonprescribed prescription drugs, or an impairing substance in a manner not directed by the manufacturer, or a combination of such substances, on the employer's premises in violation of the employer's employment policies.
- (5) Reporting to work under the influence of alcohol, illegal or nonprescribed prescription drugs, or an impairing substance in an off-label manner, or a combination of such substances, on the employer's premises in violation of the employer's employment policies, unless the individual is compelled to work by the employer outside of scheduled or on-call working hours.
- (6) Conduct that substantially and unjustifiably endangers the personal safety of coworkers or the general public.
- (7) Incarceration for an act for which one could reasonably expect to be incarcerated that results in missing work.
- (8) Incarceration as a result of a misdemeanor or felony conviction by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.
- (10) Falsification of any work-related report, task, or job that could expose the employer or coworkers to legal liability or sanction for violation of health or safety laws.
- (11) Failure to maintain any license, registration, or certification that is reasonably required by the employer or by law, or that is a functional requirement to perform the individual's regular job duties, unless the failure is not within the control of the individual.
- (12) Conduct that is libelous or slanderous toward an employer or an employee of the employer if such conduct is not protected under state or federal law.
- (13) Theft of an employer's or coworker's funds or property.
- (14) Intentional misrepresentation of time worked or work carried out that results in the individual receiving unearned wages or unearned benefits.

The employer has the burden of proof in this matter. See Iowa Code section 96.6(2). Misconduct must be substantial in order to justify a denial of unemployment benefits. Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of unemployment benefits. See *Lee v. Employment Appeal Board*, 616 N.W.2d 661 (Iowa 2000). The focus is on deliberate, intentional, or culpable acts by the employee. See *Gimbel v. Employment Appeal Board*, 489 N.W.2d 36, 39 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992).

While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of the current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act(s). The termination of employment must be based on a current act. See 871 IAC 24.32(8). In determining whether the conduct that prompted the discharge constituted a “current act,” the administrative law judge considers the date on which the conduct came to the attention of the employer and the date on which the employer notified the claimant that the conduct subjected the claimant to possible discharge. See also *Greene v. EAB*, 426 N.W.2d 659, 662 (Iowa App. 1988).

Allegations of misconduct or dishonesty without additional evidence shall not be sufficient to result in disqualification. If the employer is unwilling to furnish available evidence to corroborate the allegation, misconduct cannot be established. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.32(4).

The evidence in the record establishes a March 1, 2023 discharge for no disqualifying reason. The employer did not participate in the appeal hearing and did not present any evidence to rebut the claimant’s testimony and documentary evidence or to meet the employer’s burden of providing a discharge based on disqualifying misconduct. The claimant was discharged based on a temporary and situational inability to meet the employer’s performance metrics despite a good faith effort to meet the metrics. The employer contributed to the circumstances surrounding the claimant’s diminished work performance and declined to engage in a meaningful interactive process regarding reasonable accommodation of the claimant’s disabilities. The claimant is eligible for benefits, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The employer’s account may be charged for benefits.

DECISION:

The March 24, 2023 (reference 01) decision is REVERSED. The claimant was discharged on March 1, 2023 for no disqualifying reason. The claimant is eligible for benefits, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The employer’s account may be charged for benefits.



James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

April 21, 2023
Decision Dated and Mailed

scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

**Employment Appeal Board
4th Floor – Lucas Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Fax: (515)281-7191
Online: eab.iowa.gov**

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf>.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

**Employment Appeal Board
4th Floor – Lucas Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
Fax: (515)281-7191
En línea: eab.iowa.gov**

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiriera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que está en línea en <https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf>.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.