

BEFORE THE
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
Lucas State Office Building
Fourth floor
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

TIMOTHY R KLOSTER

Claimant,

and

ACTION WAREHOUSE CO LTD

Employer.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

HEARING NUMBER: 08B-UI-06259

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD
AFTER GRANTED REHEARING DECISION

SECTION: 10A.601 Employment Appeal Board Review

D E C I S I O N

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an application for rehearing on the above-listed matter that was granted based on the fact the claimant did not receive the Notice of Hearing to participate in the July 22, 2008 hearing. The Board reopened the matter in order to consider the claimant's reason for his nonparticipation. The Board is now ready to issue its decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The notice of hearing in this matter was mailed July 10, 2008. The notice set a hearing for July 22, 2008. The claimant did not appear for or participate in the hearing. The reason the claimant did not appear is because the claimant did not receive notice of the hearing and did not know the hearing was taking place.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 10A.601(4) (2005) provides:

5. Appeal board review. The appeal board may on its own motion affirm, modify, or set aside any decision of a administrative law judge on the basis of the evidence previously submitted in such case, or direct the taking of additional evidence, or may permit any of the parties to such decision to initiate further appeals before it. The appeal board shall permit such further appeal by any of the parties interested in a decision of an administrative law judge and by the representative whose decision has been overruled or modified by the administrative law judge. The appeal board shall review the case pursuant to rules adopted by the appeal board. The appeal board shall promptly notify

the interested parties of its findings and decision.

Page 2
08B-UI-06259

Here the claimant did not participate in the hearing through no fault of the claimant. The claimant did not receive the Notice of Hearing in order to participate. For this reason, the matter will be remanded for another hearing before an administrative law judge.

DECISION:

The decision of the administrative law judge dated July 23, 2008 is not vacated. This matter is remanded to an administrative law judge in the Workforce Development Center, Appeals Section. The administrative law judge shall conduct a hearing following due notice. After the hearing, the administrative law judge shall issue a decision which provides the parties appeal rights.

John A. Peno

Elizabeth L. Seiser

Monique F. Kuester

AMG/ss