# IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIOSN AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

**ASHLEY V HOHENSHELL** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 22A-UI-17006-DZ-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

JOHN DEERE COMPANY

**Employer** 

OC: 07/31/22

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer Participation in Fact-Finding Interview

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

John Deere Company, the employer/appellant, filed an appeal from the Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) September 2, 2022, (reference 01) unemployment insurance (UI) decision that allowed REGULAR (state) UI benefits because IWD records indicated that the employer had discharged Mr. Hohenshell from work on August 4, 2022 for a non-disqualifying reason. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 13, 2022. The employer participated through Mike Lane, labor relations administrator. Mr. Hohenshell participated personally. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted as evidence.

## **ISSUES:**

Did the employer suspend Mr. Hohenshell from employment for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

Was he overpaid benefits?

If so, should he repay the benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Mr. Hohenshell began working for the employer on April 17, 2006. He works as a full-time welder and is still employed with the employer.

The employer's policy, in relevant part, provides that an employee is subject to progressive discipline if the employee is late one hour or less for a shift without reasonable cause three or more times within a three-month period. The employer's discipline policy provides for a written warning and one-year probation for a first violation, a three-day suspension and three years probation for a second violation, a two-week suspension and three years probation for a third violation, a thirty-day suspension and three years probation for a fourth violation, and termination of employment for a fifth violation. The disciplinary probation period is how long violations stay on an employee's discipline record. In other words, if the employer concludes

that an employee violated the employer's policy while the employee is on disciplinary probation, the employee is subject to the next level of discipline. But if the employer finds a violation after the probation period, the previous violation has dropped off the employee's discipline record and the employee is subject to discipline not counting the previous violation.

On August 4, 2022, the employer suspended Mr. Hohenshell for thirty days unpaid, and placed him on three years probation for being late to work as follows:

- one-hour on July 26,
- four minutes on July 27,
- one minute on July 29, and
- four minutes on August 4.

Mr. Hohenshell was late to work on July 26 because his child was sick. Mr. Hohenshell contacted his supervisor that day and told the supervisor that he would be late. Mr. Hohenshell overslept on one of the other days he was late. Mr. Hohenshell was late the other two days because of road construction between his home and the job. Mr. Hohenshell contacted his supervisor on one of the days he was late due to road construction but not the other day. Mr. Hohenshell returned to work on September 6, 2022.

On March 21, 2022, the employer had suspended Mr. Hohenshell for two-weeks (Mr. Hohenshell continued to work and be paid for the two-weeks) and placed him on three years probation for welding a disc golf basket using the employer's equipment and scrap metal. Mr. Hohenshell had admitted to making the disc golf basket using the employer's equipment and scrap metal. On March 9, 2022, the employer had suspended Mr. Hohenshell for three days (Mr. Hohenshell continued to work and be paid for the three days) and placed him on three years probation for not working a scheduled Saturday shift. Mr. Hohenshell had admitted to oversleeping and missing that shift. On April 26, 2021, the employer gave Mr. Hohenshell a written warning and place him on one year probation for being late to work as follows:

- 6 minutes on February 11,
- 34 minutes on March 1, and
- 4 minutes on April 23.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the employer suspended Mr. Hohenshell, but the employer has not established misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)(a) and (d)(9) provide:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

. . .

- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer.
- (9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.

Iowa Admin Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

The Iowa Supreme Court has held that this definition accurately reflects the intent of the legislature. Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7), (8) and (9) provide:

- (7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.
- (8) Past acts of misconduct. While past acts and warnings can be used to determine the magnitude of a current act of misconduct, a discharge for misconduct cannot be based on such past act or acts. The termination of employment must be based on a current act.
- (9) Suspension or disciplinary layoff. Whenever a claim is filed and the reason for the claimant's unemployment is the result of a disciplinary layoff or suspension imposed by the employer, the claimant is considered as discharged, and the issue of misconduct must

be resolved. Alleged misconduct or dishonesty without corroboration is not sufficient to result in disqualification.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.5 and Supreme Court of Iowa decision, Sheryl A. Cosper vs. Iowa Department of Job Service and Blue Cross of Iowa.

The purpose of subrule eight is to assure that an employer does not save up acts of misconduct and spring them on an employee when an independent desire to terminate arises.

Excessive absenteeism is not considered misconduct unless unexcused. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness; and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. The requirements for a finding of misconduct based on absences are twofold. First, the absences must be excessive. Sallis v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 437 N.W.2d 895 (Iowa 1989). The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. Higgins v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 350 N.W.2d 187, 192 (Iowa 1984). Second, the absences must be unexcused. Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 10. The requirement of "unexcused" can be satisfied in two ways. An absence can be unexcused either because it was not for "reasonable grounds," Higgins, 350 N.W.2d at 191, or because it was not "properly reported," holding excused absences are those "with appropriate notice." Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 10.

Absences due to properly reported illness cannot constitute work-connected misconduct since they are not volitional, even if the employer was fully within its rights to assess points or impose discipline up to or including discharge for the absence under its attendance policy. Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7); Cosper, 321 N.W.2d at 9; Gaborit v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 734 N.W.2d 554 (Iowa Ct. App. 2007). Medical documentation is not essential to a determination that an absence due to illness should be treated as excused. See Gaborit, 734 N.W.2d at 555-558. An employer's no-fault absenteeism policy or point system is not dispositive of the issue of qualification for unemployment insurance benefits. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. Higgins, 350 N.W.2d at 191. When claimant does not provide an excuse for an absence the absences is deemed unexcused. Id.; see also Spragg v. Becker-Underwood, Inc., 672 N.W.2d 333, 2003 WL 22339237 (Iowa App. 2003).

Excessive absenteeism has been found when there have been seven unexcused absences in five months; five unexcused absences and three instances of tardiness in eight months; three unexcused absences over an eight-month period; three unexcused absences over seven months; and missing three times after being warned. See Higgins, 350 N.W.2d at 192 (Iowa 1984); Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa App. 1984); Armel v. EAB, 2007 WL 3376929\*3 (Iowa App. Nov. 15, 2007); Hiland v. EAB, No. 12-2300 (Iowa App. July 10, 2013); and Clark v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 317 N.W.2d 517 (Iowa App. 1982).

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating the claimant from employment, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). Misconduct must be "substantial" to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. *Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984).

In an at-will employment environment an employer may discharge, or suspend, an employee for any number of reasons or no reason at all if it is not contrary to public policy, but if it fails to meet its burden of proof to establish job related misconduct as the reason for the separation, it incurs potential liability for unemployment insurance benefits related to that separation. A determination as to whether an employee's act is misconduct does not rest solely on the interpretation or application of the employer's policy or rule. A violation employer's policy or rule is not necessarily disqualifying misconduct even if the employer was fully within its rights to impose discipline up to or including discharge for the incident under its policy.

In this case, Mr. Hohenshell was late to work on July 26 due to his child being sick and he properly reported the tardy to the employer. This is an excused absence. Mr. Hohenshell was absent another day because of road construction, and he properly reported this tardy to the employer. Road construction is not in Mr. Hohenshell's control. This is an excused absence. Mr. Hohenshell's other two absences were for oversleeping and because of road construction but he did not call in. These are unexcused absences. Two instances of tardiness in two weeks is not excessive. Since the employer has failed to establish misconduct, benefits are allowed.

Since Mr. Hohenshell is eligible for benefits, the issues of overpayment and repayment are moot.

## **DECISION:**

The September 2, 2022 (reference 01) UI decision is AFFIRMED. The employer suspended Mr. Hohenshell for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible. Any benefits claimed and withheld on this basis shall be paid.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge

October 25, 2022

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

scn

**APPEAL RIGHTS.** If you disagree with this decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

## AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

<u>2.</u> If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to <u>file a petition for judicial</u> <u>review in District Court</u> within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a> or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court <a href="https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/">https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

## **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

**DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN.** Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

<u>1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo</u> dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

## UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

<u>2.</u> Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de <u>presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrit</u>o dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paquen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

## **SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:**

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.