IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JOHN FITZGERALD

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-00879-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ORIENTAL TRADING CO

Employer

OC: 12-31-06 R: 01 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the January 23, 2007, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on February 8, 2007. The claimant participated in the hearing. Amy Walkonen, Human Resources Generalist; Gary Graham, Production Group Supervisor; Rich Prince, Facility Manager; and David Williams, Employer's Representative, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. Employer's Exhibits One and Two and Claimant's Exhibit A were admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time shipping operator for Oriental Trading from July 29, 2004 to January 4, 2007. He was discharged from employment due to excessive unexcused absenteeism. The employer's policy states that employees must report within two hours of the start of their shift and must leave a voice mail stating whether they will be absent or tardy. Points drop off after 12 months. On January 20, 24, and 26, 2006, he left early and received one-half point on each occasion; on January 27, and 30, 2006, he was absent due to illness and received one-half point for each occasion; on January 31, 2006, he was absent without providing a reason and received one-half point; on February 23, 2006, he left early and received one-half point; on February 24, 2006, he received a final written warning for accumulating nine attendance points; on April 25, 2006, the claimant was tardy and received one-half point; on May 9, 2006, he left early and received a halfpoint; on May 19, 2006, he called in sick and received one point; on May 22, 2006, he received a written warning for attendance after accumulating nine points; on June 2, 2006, he was tardy and received a half-point; on June 5, 2006, he left early and received a half-point; on July 21, 2006, he left early and received a half-point; on July 22, 2006, the claimant received one point; on July 26, 2006, he called in sick and received one point; on July 28, 2006, he was tardy and received one-half point; on September 8 and October 2, 2006, he left early and received one-half points; and on October 10, and 12, 2006, he left early and received one-half point for each absence. On January 2, 2007, the claimant called in and said he was ill and would be in around 10:30 a.m. but did not call or

show up for work again that day and the employer terminated his employment. The claimant disagreed with some of his points but did not call human resources about them. He also showed phone records that he called in (Claimant's Exhibit A) on January 2, 2007. The employer does not disagree that he called, only that he said he would be in that day and did not show up for work.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for disqualifying job misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. Higgins v. lowa Department of Job Service, 350 N.W.2d 187 (lowa 1984). The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The January 23, 2007, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder	
Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	